

## Comment

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Consultee</b>   | Mrs Thelma Scott (868212)  |
| <b>Email Address</b>   | [REDACTED]   |
| <b>Address</b>   | The Old Mill House Cottage<br>East Hanney<br>Nr Wantage<br>OX12 0JJ  |
| <b>Event Name</b>  | Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -<br>Publication  |
| <b>Comment by</b>  | Mrs Thelma Scott   |
| <b>Comment ID</b>  | LPPub1617  |
| <b>Response Date</b>   | 02/12/14 15:17   |
| <b>Consultation Point</b>  | Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for<br>Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area<br>( <a href="#">View</a> ) |
| <b>Status</b>  | Submitted  |
| <b>Submission Type</b>   | Web  |
| <b>Version</b>   | 0.2  |
| <b>Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?</b>   | No   |
| <b>Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)</b>   | No   |
| <b>If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.</b>   | South of East Hanney   |
| If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities) |  |
| <b>Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?</b>   | No   |

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

On the Local plan 2031 Part 1 Strategic Sites and policies SHLAA Appendix 8 East Hanney, part of the Southern site EHAN05B in the overall assessment of site deliverability is stated as Undeliverable. Why has this now become deliverable? It is also stated under policy constraints as adjacent to **Conservation Area** and listed building, archaeological constrain, **Flood Zone** 2 and 3 in northern part ( at access ). This point of access is also stated as having no continuous pedestrian access to village facilities.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

I strongly object to the to the proposed development to the South of East Hanney for the above stated reasons and would would ask the inspector to first consider if 200 houses in a village of 360 is realistic and sustainable.

If Hanney has to have some development, then a far lower number of around 50 houses on the East of East Hanney site is the modification I suggest.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination