

## Comment

Consultee	Planning Policy (729030)
Email Address	planning.policy@southoxon.gov.uk
Company / Organisation	South Oxfordshire District Council
Address	Council Offices Benson Lane WALLINGFORD OX10 8NJ
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	South Oxfordshire District Council ( Planning Policy)
Comment ID	LPPub3848
Response Date	23/01/15 12:04
Consultation Point	Core Policy 12: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Highway Improvements within the Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.4
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	Yes
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

One of the schemes listed in CP17 the Harwell Strategic Link Road and Southern Didcot Bypass appears to include land in South Oxfordshire policy CP18 cannot safeguard land in South Oxfordshire. The wording needs to be adapted to reflect this Two road schemes one in CP12 (the South Abingdon Bypass) and one in CP17 (the link between the A415 east of Abingdon and the A4130 north of Didcot)

have been recently introduced and South Oxfordshire has not had the opportunity to consult on or formally consider these. This will be done through the South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2031. Whilst this Council realises that there is often serious congestion in this area and that solutions need to be found we have some concern about the potential impact of these proposals in South Oxfordshire and therefore reserve judgement on these schemes.