Comment

Consultee Mrs Angela Einon (730283)

Email Address steventonpc@tiscali.co.uk

Company / Organisation Steventon Parish Council

Address 8 Stocks Lane

Steventon Abingdon OX13 6SG

Event Name CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule

Consultation

Comment by Steventon Parish Council (Mrs Angela Einon)

Comment ID CILP19

Response Date 19/12/14 10:10

Consultation Point Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule

Consultation (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

If you would like to be added to the Community Infrastructure Levy mailing list to receive updates on the progress on the CIL, please tick the box (please ensure your details have been entered above).

Q1 Do you feel that the proposed rates are suitably informed by viability evidence provided in the emerging Local Plan and Community Infrastructure Levy Viability Study (October 2014) produced by HDH Planning & Development?

If no, please tell us your reasons for this. Please bear in mind that your reasons should be clearly justified by supporting evidence.

Nο

No context or worked examples to illustrate objectives

Q2 Do you believe the evidence on viability is correct? No If not, please set out alternative evidence to support your view.

If no, please tell us your reasons for this. Please bear in mind that your reasons should be clearly justified by supporting evidence.

Only one paragraph on viability which provides insufficient evidence to make a decision

To ensure that we continue to encourage development across the district we need to strike a balance between collecting revenue to fund infrastructure and ensuring that the rates are not set so high that they prevent development happening.

Q3 Do you think the rates proposed strike an appropriate No balance between helping to fund infrastructure through CIL and the potential effects of imposing CIL on the viability of development needed to deliver the aspirations of the emerging Local Plan Part One?

If no, please tell us your reasons for this. Please bear in mind that your reasons should be clearly justified by supporting evidence.

Refer to previous answer

The council has published a list of infrastructure projects or types of infrastructure (known as the Regulation 123 list) that it intends to fund wholly or partly by CIL. This is not a spending priority list, it has been prepared to show the projects and types of infrastructure which maybe funded through CIL.

Q4 Do you agree that the draft Regulation 123 list

Yes
consists of relevant infrastructure projects/types and do
you agree with the council's approach?

The Government's CIL guidance allows authorities to establish an instalments policy to manage CIL payments, in order for applicants to make timely payments towards the infrastructure. These can vary in terms of timescales, according to the scale of the overall payment to be made, and help to ensure that CIL funds are paid at regular intervals through the build out process of sites. The approach also assists with providing sufficient flexibility to developers, to enable development to come forward, while still providing a steady stream of infrastructure funding.

Q5 A draft Instalment Policy has been prepared, do you No agree with the triggers and stages for payment as outlined with the draft instalment policy.

If no, please tell us your reasons for this, and what would be an alternative approach that you would support and why?

Timescale too long nor more than two years. Those affected by the development should get the benefit early.

Q6 Do you have any other comments on the preliminary draft charging schedule or supporting evidence?

Not transparent and easily undertstood by the Parish Council