

## Comment

**Consultee** Mrs Sheila Greenman (1143365)  
**Email Address**  
**Address**

**Event Name** LPP2 Publicity Period Oct - Nov 2017  
**Comment by** Mrs Sheila Greenman  
**Comment ID** 424  
**Response Date** 22/11/17 16:50  
**Status** Submitted  
**Submission Type** Web  
**Version** 0.1

**Q1 To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? Please state the paragraph or policy or policies map.** 8a/b, 12a & 13a

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** No

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound?** No

**Q4 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Cooperate?** No

**Q5 Please provide details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

I fully support the detailed submissions made by BCMC, SPADE, and Sunningwell Parish Council.

**Q6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at 5 above. (NB Please note that**

**any non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

8a, 8b, 12a, &13a

The Parts of the plan that seeks to safeguard green belt designated land in Sunningwell Parish to link the proposed Park and Ride at Lodge Hill to the proposed development at Dalton Barracks are unsound.

They should be removed from the plan and any future plans should not be brought forward without comprehensive engagement with the local community and landowners.

I also believe that the areas safeguarded for the P&R at Lodge Hill should be removed until a fully funded benefits case has been approved by OCC.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

**Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

Whilst I do not want to physically participate in the EiP, I do expect that the key leaders in our community that have reviewed the plan in detail to be provided with an opportunity to contribute in person. There are many factors to consider and relying purely on written submissions alone will not permit the various options to be fully considered.

**Would you like to hear from us in the future?**

- . I would like to be kept informed about the progress of the Local Plan
- . I would like to be added to the database to receive general planning updates