

Comment

Consultee Mr Tony Lee (1143363)

Email Address

Address

Event Name LPP2 Publicity Period Oct - Nov 2017

Comment by Mr Tony Lee

Comment ID 425

Response Date 22/11/17 16:55

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Q1 To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? Please state the paragraph or policy or policies map. All

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound? No

Q4 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Cooperate? No

Q5 Please provide details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The proposal is in breach of article 8 and article 1 of protocol 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). The proposed construction/development would mean the current residents affected by construction traffic, construction noise and construction pollution would impeach on their article 8 Human Rights. So the Local Authority would be in breach of this convention should the proposal be allowed.

Should the proposal be agreed, the Local Authority would also be causing extra strain on public services and their employees and would be in breach of The Health and Safety Executive's (HSE) policy regarding stress in the workplace: The HSE definition of stress is: "The reaction people have to

excessive demands or pressures, arising when people try to cope with tasks, responsibilities or other types of pressure connected with their job, but find difficulty, strain or worry in doing so.”

The local authority would be breaking the **Health and Safety at Work Act 1974** and Management of **Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999** to ensure the **health safety** and welfare at work of their employees by causing unnecessary stress.

Q6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at 5 above. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

To abandon the proposal.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To object to this illegal proposal.

Would you like to hear from us in the future?

- . I would like to be kept informed about the progress of the Local Plan
- . I would like to be added to the database to receive general planning updates