

## Comment

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| Consultee          | Mr Peter Smith (872752)                                    |
| Email Address      |  |
| Address            | 47 Bostock Road<br>Abingdon<br>OX14 1DW                    |
| Event Name         | Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication |
| Comment by         | Mr Peter Smith   |
| Comment ID         | LPPub1121  |
| Response Date      | 19/12/14 13:47   |
| Consultation Point | 2.10 Paragraph ( <a href="#">View</a> )                    |
| Status             | Submitted  |
| Submission Type    | Web  |
| Version            | 0.1  |

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** Yes

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** N/A

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Plan is unsound in not recognising that there are too many jobs in the district already and this is a major cause of high housing costs.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant**

**or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

Council should not promote jobs growth and should not help UK government to create jobs in the area. This would make the plan more sound by helping to restrain house prices in case the housing targets turn out to be insufficient or to be difficult to attain.

**Please note** *your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

**Please note** *the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

**Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

I believe that the connection between jobs growth on the one hand and pressures on building land with concomitant high house prices is not sufficiently recognised.