



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:  
Strategic Sites and Policies**  
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official  
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email [planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk) no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

## Part A

### 1. Personal Details\*

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mr

First Name

Derek

Last Name

White

Job Title

(where relevant)

Organisation

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

42 Colton Road

Line 2

Shrivenham

Line 3

Swindon

Line 4

Post Code

SN6 8AZ

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

(where relevant)

## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph  Policy  Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="X"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="X"/>

*Please mark as appropriate.*

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The top findings on public comments in 2014 on the Local Plan as reported by the Vale were:

Calls for the provision of infrastructure *before* development and concerns about the *lack of infrastructure* to support new development. This was a specific point made by the Shrivenham Parish Council as well as the public.

*Traffic and congestion* from the new development, and cumulative impact on the existing road network

Concerns about *harm to the identity and character* of existing settlements including coalescence

These three key public comments have not been sufficiently considered. There is a failure in duty to co-operate on top findings from local residents and the local Parish Council at Shrivenham. The plan allocates 500 houses in Shrivenham North which will be a total overload for this rural village area on infrastructure, traffic and village character and as such is not sound.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant

or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

All infrastructure is to be in place prior to the start of any new development.

The number of houses in Shrivenham to be limited to a maximum of 150 so as not to change the whole character of this village and increase local traffic in a rural area in the plan period up to 2031. This will make the plan sound.

The public and council comments in the consultation findings have not been considered as to the needs of the local community.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☒

**No**, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

**Yes**, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

A rectangular box containing a solid black rectangle, which is a redaction of a signature.

Date:

25 Nov 2014