## CIL VIABILITY UPDATE NOTE

## 25<sup>th</sup> February 2017

- 1. This brief note has been prepared by HDH Planning and Development, in the run up to the CIL hearings in response to further information with regard to the s106 strategic infrastructure and mitigation costs:
  - Milton Heights increased from £793,792 to £5,201,828 based on revised number of units of 458. This is not a consented scheme so has been modelled as per the Plan (ie 400 units). Remodelled as 400/458<sup>th</sup> of £5,201,828 = £4,543,081.
  - Valley Park increased from £31,688,642 to £81,904,188 based on the unchanged number of units of 4,254 (being consistent with the resolution to approve).
- 2. The appraisals have been re-run reflecting these two changes. All other matters are unchanged and the following tables are directly comparable with Table 5.8 and 5.9 in the CIL Pre-hearing Viability Update December 2016 (copied below for convenience).
- 3. In addition to the above the promoters of Valley Park have provided further evidence suggesting that value assumption of this site is too high making specific reference to the adjacent Great Weston Park scheme. Whilst it is not accepted that the values are as low as suggested it is accepted that it is necessary to revisit the value assumption on this site. Further appraisals have been run at lower values all other things being kept unchanged.

Table 5.8	Resi	dua	al V	alu	е,	Fu		Po	lic	уI	Re	qu	ire	em	er	nts	-	SI	<b>R</b>	AT	E	GI		TES	
	£ site	32,530,638	9,500,424	15,345,551	14,690,374	16,763,220	21,584,036	6,572,586	108,509,035	32,932,177			14,476,273	10,000,264	16,785,557	4,641,496	10,309,960	7,506,399	5,482,817	8,520,835	8,393,013	13,323,418	2,173,272		
Residual Value	Net ha	1,423,038	1,663,822	1,918,194	2,141,454	2,174,218	1,007,188	153,350	1,489,281	1,440,603			2,301,474	1,751,360	1,468,553	812,871	1,030,996	1,314,606	960,213	596,280	1,469,880	186,550	624,503		
ž	Gross ha	604,434	1,187,553	1,297,172	1,209,084	1,421,817	380,604	66,585	600,393	853,607			1,639,442	1,165,532	1,049,097	580,187	370,196	716,944	298,791	270,761	722,290	111,961	381,276		
Units		800	200	280	240	270	885	1500	4254	800			220	207	400	200	350	200	200	500	200	2500	120		
	Net ha	22.86	5.71	8	6.86	7.71	21.43	42.86	72.86	22.86			6.29	5.71	11.43	5.71	10	5.71	5.71	14.29	5.71	71.42	3.48		
Area	Gross ha	53.82	∞	11.83	12.15	11.79	56.71		180.7	38.58			8.83	8.58	16	8	27.85	10.47	18.35	31.47	11.62	119	5.7		
		Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural		
			Green		Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green		
			Abingdon Fast Hanney	witl		Radley	Grove	Wantage	Harwell and Milton Parishes ed	Harwell and Milton Parishes ea	Harwell Campus	Harwell Campus	Sutton Courtenay		Milton Parish west of the A34		Faringdon	Faringdon	well Parish	Shrivenham	Stanford-in-the-Vale	Grove	Didcot		
			North-West of Abingdon	th Southme	North-West of Radley	South of Kennington	Monks Farm	Crab Hill	Valley Park	North-West of Valley Park	East Harwell Campus	Campus	East of Sutton Courtenay	West of Harwell	Milton Heights	East of Coxwell Road Faringdon Faringdon	Land South of Park Road	South-West of Faringdon	South of Faringdon	North of Shrivenham	West of Stanford-in-the-Vale	Grove Airfield	Didcot Power Station		
		Site 1	Site 2	Site 4	Site 5	H Site 6		Site 8	Site 9	Site 10	Site 11	Site 12	Site 13	Site 14	Site 15	Site 16	Site 17	Site 18	Site 19	Site 20	Site 21	Site 22	Site 23		

Г

Source: CIL Pre-hearing Viability Update – December 2016

	Table 5.9 Residual Value compared to Viability Thresholds, Full Policy Requirements – STRATEGIC SITES									
			Alternative Use Value	Viability Threshold	Residual Value					
			£/ha	£/ha	£/ha					
1	North of Abingdon	Abingdon	20,000	374,000	604,434					
2	North-West of Abingdon	Abingdon	20,000	374,000	1,187,553					
3	South of East Hanney	East Hanney			Deleted					
4	Kingston Bagpuize with Southmoor	East of Kingston Bagpuize with Southmoor	20,000	374,000	1,297,172					
5	North-West of Radley	Radley	20,000	374,000	1,209,084					
6	South of Kennington	Radley	20,000	374,000	1,421,817					
7	Monks Farm	Grove	20,000	374,000	380,604					
8	Crab Hill	Wantage	20,000	374,000	66,585					
9	Valley Park	Harwell and Milton Parishes east of the A34	20,000	374,000	600,393					
10	North-West of Valley Park	Harwell and Milton Parishes east of the A34	20,000	374,000	853,607					
11	East Harwell Campus	Harwell Campus			Deleted					
12	North-West of Harwell Campus	Harwell Campus			Deleted					
13	East of Sutton Courtenay	Sutton Courtenay	20,000	374,000	1,639,442					
14	West of Harwell	Harwell	20,000	374,000	1,165,532					
15	Milton Heights	Milton Parish west of the A34	20,000	374,000	1,049,097					
16	East of Coxwell Road Faringdon	Faringdon	20,000	374,000	580,187					
17	Land South of Park Road	Faringdon	20,000	374,000	370,196					
18	South-West of Faringdon	Faringdon	20,000	374,000	716,944					
19	South of Faringdon	Great Coxwell Parish	20,000	374,000	298,791					
20	North of Shrivenham	Shrivenham	20,000	374,000	270,761					
21	West of Stanford-in-the- Vale	Stanford-in-the-Vale	20,000	374,000	722,290					
22	Grove Airfield	Grove	20,000	374,000	111,961					
23	Didcot Power Station	Didcot	20,000	374,000	381,276					

Source: CIL Pre-hearing Viability Update - December 2016

## Changes as a consequence of updated IDP Costs

New Table 1 – Summary of Changes									
Gross ha Net ha £ site									
Valley Park									
November 2016	£764,100	£1,895,357	£138,095,721						
January 2017	£600,393	£1,489,281	£108,509,035						
Milton Heights									
November 2016	£1,239,952	£1,735,716	£19,839,234						
January 2017	£1,049,097	£1,468,553	£16,785,557						

4. The results have changed as follows:

Source: HDH February 2017

- 5. On this basis, it is not appropriate to set a differential rate of CIL for these sites. Whilst there is a fall in the residual value there remains a substantial cushion over and above the viability threshold.
- The analysis in Table 5.13 of the CIL Pre-hearing Viability Update December 2016 shows 6. CIL as a percentage of Residual Value, being an indication of the amount land values may fall as a consequence of CIL. For Valley Park this has increased from 23.48% to 29.88% and for Milton Heights this has increased from 15.33% to 18.12%.
- 7. This test shows that whilst Milton Heights is within the 25% upper limit, Valley Park is marginally over this suggesting some doubt as to the appropriateness of CIL at £120/m<sup>2</sup> in addition to the increased strategic infrastructure and mitigation costs.
- 8. There is no change to the Table 5.15 where CIL is presented as a percentage of the GDV.
- 9. Bearing in mind the Council's wish to keep the charging schedule as simple as practical, Valley Park has been retested at £85/m<sup>2</sup>. At this rate the Residual Value is as follows, and CIL would represent 20.12% of the Residual Value:

New Table 2 Valley Park Residual Value with CIL at £85/m <sup>2</sup>										
Gross ha Net ha £ sit										
£631,448 £1,566,314 £114,121,661										
Source: HDH February 2017										

Source: HDH February 2017

10. Taking into account the changes to the strategic infrastructure and mitigation costs, it is recommended that Valley Park is moved to the £85/m<sup>2</sup> zone. No change is recommended for Milton Heights.

## Changes as a consequence of revised value assumptions at Valley Park

- 11. The promoter of the Valley Park Site has suggested that the values at Valley Park will closely follow that at the adjacent Great Western Park site. As Great Western Park is a South Oxfordshire site the review of Land Registry Price Paid Data (PPD) did not include these sales. We have undertaken a review of the PPD for Great Western Park and married it with the EPC data using the same methodology as in the other viability work.
- 12. The results for 2015 and 2016 are as follows (although it is important to note that it takes up to 6 months for new sales to appear in the dataset to 2016 is not the complete year):

Table 3 GWP Summary of Price Paid Data									
	Detached	Semi- detached	Terrace	Flat	All				
2015									
Count	60	69	42	24	195				
Max	£400,000	£355,000	£309,995	£219,995	£400,000				
Min	£277,000	£206,995	£240,000	£157,000	£157,000				
Mean	£308,983	£292,598	£264,368	£197,765	£279,887				
Median	£293,498	£290,000	£249,000	£202,245	£285,000				
	· · ·	£/m	2						
Mean	£3,350	£3,119	£3,637	£3,365	£3,332				
Median	£3,389	£3,191	£3,889	£3,409	£3,388				
Upper Q	£3,565	£3,565	£3,565	£3,565	£3,565				
	<u> </u>	201	6						
Count	37	59	18	11	125				
Max	£495,000	£380,000	£370,000	£220,000	£495,000				
Min	£280,000	£260,000	£260,000	£204,000	£204,000				
Mean	£365,416	£327,699	£301,597	£212,335	£324,953				
Median	£359,950	£321,950	£303,450	£212,000	£319,950				
£/m2									
Mean	£3,343	£3,266	£3,418	£3,391	£3,322				
Median	£3,333	£3,230	£3,224	£3,397	£3,306				
Upper Q	£3,686	£3,686	£3,686	£3,686	£3,686				

Source: Land Registry and EPC Register

13. The assumption used in the CIL Pre-hearing Viability Update – December 2016 for Valley Park is £3,700/m<sup>2</sup>. It is accepted that this is not supported by the above evidence. The promoter has suggested an assumption of £3,500/m<sup>2</sup> would be more appropriate. This is not accepted on the basis that Valley Park benefits from a better situation (although to some extent this is countered by the proximity of the A34).

- 14. In 2015 the median at GWP was £3,388/m<sup>2</sup> and the upper quartile £3565/m<sup>2</sup>. Surprisingly the median in 2016 was a little lower at £3,306/m<sup>2</sup>, although the upper quartile was closer to the assumption at £3,686/m<sup>2</sup>. This fall is probably due to the mix of units being different and the relatively small number of sales.
- 15. We have run two further sets of appraisals. The first being at  $\pounds 3,600/m^2$  and the second at  $\pounds 3,500/m^2 in$  both the updated s106 cost of  $\pounds 81,904,188$  is used. For the avoidance of doubt the  $\pounds 3,500/m^2$  is to provide for context only, this value is not accepted.

Table 4 Valley Park - Residual Values with revised prices CIL at £85/m2 and s106 costs of £81,904,188											
Residential Value		Residual Valu	a	Alternative Use Value	Viability Threshold	Residual Value					
	Gross ha	Net ha	£ site								
£3,700	631,448	1,566,314	114,121,661	20,000	374,000	631,448					
£3,600	567,960	1,408,830	102,647,347	20,000	374,000	567,960					
£3,500	504,471	1,251,346	91,173,033	20,000	374,000	504,471					
Source: HDH February 2017											

16. Taking an approach that is consistent with that taken to the other sites it is recognised that the buffer / cushion between the Residual Value and Viability Threshold is limited at the value of £3,600/m<sup>2</sup>. It is therefore recommended that Valley Park be moved to the CIL Zone 3 and be subject to the zero rate of CIL.

RS Drummond-Hay MRICS HDH Planning and Development Ltd 25<sup>th</sup> February 2017