




**Vale  
of White Horse**  
District Council

Consultation Draft  
February 2014



# Local Plan 2031

## Part 1

Strategic Sites  
and Policies

*Town and Village  
Facilities Study  
(Update February 2014)*

## **Contents**

<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Policy Background .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Role of the Town and Village Facilities Study.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Methodology .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Results and Conclusions.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Facility scoring system .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Assessment of town and village facilities 2014... </b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Appendix 3: Changes from last update (March 2013) .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Appendix 4: Settlement proformas (separate).....</b>	<b>17</b>

## INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. This study collates information on the services and facilities available in the various settlements across the district. This information is used to assess the relative sustainability of market towns, local service centres, villages and hamlets to produce a hierarchy of settlements for Local Plan 2031 policy-making purposes.
- 1.2. This update provides an opportunity to expand the hierarchy to include the larger settlements of Abingdon-on-Thames, Wantage, Faringdon, Grove and Botley. The scoring system for facilities has been expanded to provide a clearer and more comprehensive review of each settlement. The proforma for each settlement (see **Appendix 4**) provides a clear breakdown of the scoring associated with each facility and allows for greater transparency in our approach.
- 1.3. This update has informed the preparation of the February 2014 local plan consultation (Housing Delivery Update)<sup>1</sup> and will inform the final draft plan we will publish in mid 2014. The nature of local facilities is ever changing and this document provides a basis for local neighbourhoods, parish councils and stakeholders to respond on any inaccuracies in the information to inform the final draft local plan.

## POLICY BACKGROUND

### National policy

- 1.4. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that planning should *'proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs'*. It goes on to state that planning should *'take account of the different roles and character of different areas, promoting the vitality of our main urban areas, protecting the Green Belts around them, recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside and supporting thriving rural communities within it.'*<sup>2</sup>
- 1.5. The NPPF states that *'in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities. For example, where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby'*<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/localplanpartone>

<sup>2</sup> Paragraph 17

<sup>3</sup> Paragraph 55

## ROLE OF THE TOWN AND VILLAGE FACILITIES STUDY

- 1.6. The new Vale local plan (**Local Plan 2031 Part 1: Strategic Sites and Policies**) identifies sites suitable for new housing development. It is important these sites are selected, in part, by the suitability of their locations to offer a range of community facilities, with good access to jobs, key services, and infrastructure. In addition to this, we must consider the accessibility of new housing to jobs, shops and services by transport modes other than the car.
- 1.7. Core Policy 2 of the draft local plan we published in February 2013 proposes a settlement hierarchy for the district. It identifies and classifies settlements within the Vale of White Horse and sets out how future development will be determined in accordance to their role and function
- 1.8. The spatial strategy, set out in our draft local plan, proposes how new development will be distributed across the district. This is informed by the settlement hierarchy and relates to different types of settlement and their suitability to accommodate development.
- 1.9. This Town and Village Facilities Study updates our evidence base to inform our classification of market towns, local service centres, 'larger' and 'smaller' villages set out in our proposed settlement hierarchy .
- 1.10. The classification is based on the availability of, or access to, facilities and services, for individual settlements to indicate their relative sustainability as locations for some development. Our approach for assessing settlements uses a wider range of indicators than that used to inform the currently adopted Local Plan 2011 to improve our assessment of relative sustainability.

## METHODOLOGY

- 1.11. This update is based upon existing data provided from previous studies, including information supplied from parish councils in 2011 and 2012. In February 2013 we consulted on a draft local plan document. The responses we received to this consultation have informed this update and have led to some changes in the facilities we have recorded for a number of settlements.
- 1.12. Desktop research has also been undertaken to inform this update and has resulted in new facilities being added to our records for a number of settlements.

## The Scoring System

- 1.13. A scoring system has been devised to rank settlements within the district according to the level of services and facilities they have available. This is set out in **Appendix 1**.
- 1.14. The scoring is based on the relative importance of each facility, in that some services are more essential and used more frequently than others. For example, a primary school or a supermarket selling a good range of food are important facilities that reduce the need to travel by car and support the vitality of the local community. Other facilities such as a village hall or a recreation ground add to diversity and help build communities. Such facilities do not score so highly because they do not contribute as significantly to people's day to day needs and therefore the sustainability of the village.
- 1.15. Where a facility can be found within the built up area of the settlement, points are scored irrespective of the exact location of the facility. Where an adjacent settlement can make use of a facility i.e. it is within walking or cycling distance, that settlement can also score points for that particular service. For example, West Hanney scores points for having access to a primary school even though the school is located in East Hanney. Furthermore, some facilities are located quite a distance from any settlement but could still count if within walking or cycling distance. For example, the Snooty Fox Inn is located on the main road by-passing Littleworth but because the pub is within 400m of the village, it counts for scoring purposes.
- 1.16. Distance from facilities is taken into account, as set out in **Appendix 1**. The distances used are measured along roads (and where appropriate, public transport routes such as train lines) based on guidelines provided by Barton, Davis and Guise in their book entitled 'Sustainable Settlements: A Guide for Planners, Designers and Developers' (1995).

## RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 1.17. Table 1 shows the settlement hierarchy based on the evidence collected. Settlements with lower scores are not classified, and will be treated as part of the open countryside for planning policy purposes. Settlements washed over by Green Belt designation are now included in the hierarchy. These settlements remain constrained by current local plan policies relating to this designation.

**Table 1: Settlement hierarchy**

Market Towns	Local Service Centres
Abingdon	Grove
Wantage	Botley <b>GREEN BELT</b>
Faringdon	
Larger Villages	
Blewbury	Marcham
Cumnor <b>GREEN BELT</b>	Milton
Drayton	Radley <b>GREEN BELT</b>
East Challow	Shrivenham
East Hanney	Stanford in the Vale
East Hendred	Steventon
Harwell	Sutton Courtenay
Harwell Oxford Campus*	Uffington
Kennington <b>GREEN BELT</b>	Watchfield
Kingston Baguize with Southmoor	Wootton <b>GREEN BELT</b>
Smaller Villages	
Appleford	North Hinksey <b>GREEN BELT</b>
Appleton <b>GREEN BELT</b>	Rowstock
Ardington	Shellingford
Ashbury	Shippon <b>GREEN BELT</b>
Buckland	South Hinksey <b>GREEN BELT</b>
Childrey	Sunningwell <b>GREEN BELT</b>
Chilton	Upton
Coleshill	West Hanney
Dry Sandford <b>GREEN BELT</b>	West Hendred
Farmoor	Wytham <b>GREEN BELT</b>
Frilford	*Harwell Oxford Campus has facilities and services equivalent to a large village
Great Coxwell	
Kingston Lisle	
Letcombe Regis	<b>GREEN BELT</b> denotes settlements which are inset to the Green Belt designation.
Little Coxwell	
Littleworth	
Longcot	<b>GREEN BELT</b> denotes settlements which are washed over by the Green Belt designation.
Longworth	
Milton Heights	

1.18. **Appendix 2** shows the scores for all settlements assessed. **Appendix 3** ranks the settlements and compares the score of our previous update from March 2013. For comparative purposes the 2007 score has been colour coded to reflect the policies in Local Plan 2011. The 2011 scores are colour coded to

reflect the category in which they appear in the consultation draft of Local Plan 2031 Part 1 which was published in February 2013.

- 1.19. Proformas containing further detail on the scoring and facilities of each settlement can be found in **Appendix 4**, which is separate to this document.

## APPENDIX 1: FACILITY SCORING SYSTEM

	Explanation	Score
<b>Education</b>		
Primary School	within 600m (Max 6pts)	3
Secondary School/Middle School	within 600m (Max 6pts)	3
Further Education	within 600m (Max 3pts)	3
<b>Shops</b>		
Local Shop	1pt per shop. Village shop; Butchers; Newsagents; Food store; Bakers; (MAX 5pt)	1
Petrol Station	Small shop	1
	Large shop	3
Supermarket		3
Retail Study Scoring (scoring for larger settlements)	Up to 10 units	6
	For each additional 20 units	+1
<b>Places of Worship</b>		
Churches/Other buildings of worship	3+	2
Churches/Other buildings of worship	1 or 2	1
<b>Catering</b>		
Pubs/Restaurants	6+	3
Pubs/Restaurants	3 to 5	2
Pubs/Restaurants	1 to 2	1
<b>Financial Services</b>		
Post Office	Within 800m (Max 2pts)	1
Bank/Building Society	1-3 of major banks/build.socs.	1
Bank/Building Society	4+ of major banks/build.socs.	2
<b>Health</b>		
Medical Centres (Doctors/Dentists)	Within 1km (<8 sessions/wk)	1
Medical Centres (Doctors/Dentists)	Within 1km (8+ sessions/wk)	2
Hospitals and A&E Departments		4
<b>Community</b>		
Village Hall	Within 1km	1
Library	Permanent	2
Library	Visiting/Mobile	1
Preschool/Day nursery (all year)/Nursery (County council)/Crèche (all year)	Within 800m (Max 1pt) 2 or more of any listed	1
<b>Spatial/Transport</b>		
Main settlement for employment	Contains 2 or more employment sites within or on the edge of (<1.5km) the settlement	4
Proximity to employment sites	Within 1.5km	2
Proximity to employment sites	Within 5km	1



Proximity to main settlement	Within 5km	1
Bus services	Hourly service to 2 or more main centres	3
	Hourly service to 1 main centre	2
	Daily service to at least one 1 main centre	1
	<i>Bus route must be within 400m of village</i>	
Rail services	Hourly service to 2 or more main centres	3
	Hourly service to 1 main centre	2
	Daily service to at least 1 main centre	1
<b>Recreation</b>		
Leisure Centres/Sports halls/Swimming pools	Local authority owned/funded	2
Open recreation park/equipped play area	Within 400m	1
Sports recreation area	Within 800m	1

The scoring system for this study has been expanded and slightly amended to provide a more detailed assessment of the facilities available in the district. It also allows for the study to expand and include the market towns and local services of the district. The table below goes through what has been amended or added to the scoring list and the justification for their inclusion.

Facility	New/Amended Score	Justification
Secondary School/Middle School	New – Scored the same as other educational facilities.	Important educational facilities predominantly located within larger settlements.
Further Education	New – Scored the same as other educational facilities.	Important educational facilities predominantly located within larger settlements.
Hospital and A&E Departments	New – 4 points due to increased specialist treatment over GPs /medical centres.	Important health facility predominantly located within larger settlements.
Petrol station (small/ large shops)	New – Scored the same as local shops /supermarkets	Shops within petrol stations can offer goods in a similar manner to local village shops and/or supermarkets. No points are scored if a petrol station does not contain this day-to-day retail offering.
Retail Study scoring	New – Allocates a score for the retail offering within larger settlements.  Up to ten units = 6 points For each additional 20 units = +1 point	This is only used to allocate a score for shops in larger settlements. The retail study identifies the total number of convenience and comparison retail units

		within the market towns and local services centres.
Pubs/Restaurants/Cafés/ Takeaways	Amendment – 2 points scored if settlement has between three and five of these facilities. 3 points are scored if a settlement contains six or more.	This is to allow for more accurate scoring of larger settlements which can contain a much more diverse range of choice available to the immediate population. Larger settlements include figures taken from the Retail Study.
Post Office	Amendment – Scores the same but moved from ‘shops’ to ‘financial services’.	This is to clarify that the services offered by a post office differ from those of typical day-to-day shops. Where a post office exists within a local shop or supermarket, they are scored separately as they offer two separate services to the locality.
Banks/Building Society	New – One point if settlement contains up to three major banks/building societies. 2 points if 4 or more exist as it offers the immediate population greater flexibility and choice.	Important financial services, predominantly located within larger settlements.
Preschool/Day nursery/ Nursery/Creche	New – Scores 1 point if two or more of these facilities exist within the settlement.	Opportunity to provide care for young children in the locality. Only scores if there is more than one facility in the settlement, allowing for flexibility and choice.
Leisure centres/Sports Halls/Swimming pools	New – Scores 2 points as it offers increased health benefits for all ages.	Important recreational facility predominantly located within larger settlements.
Main settlement for employment	New – scores 4 points due to importance of location as a centre for employment.	Important that there is a diverse range of employment opportunities in the immediate vicinity of the settlement. This has been introduced to better reflect the nature of larger settlements as good centres of employment.

## APPENDIX 2: ASSESSMENT OF TOWN AND VILLAGE FACILITIES 2014

Settlement	Education			Shops				Wors -hip	Cater- ing	Financial		Health		Community			Spatial/Transport				Recreation		Total
	Primary School	Middle/ Secondary School	Further Education	Supermarket	Local Shop	Petrol Station shop	Retail Study Score	Places of Worship	Food /Drinks Outlets	Post Office	Bank/ Building Society	Medical Centre	Hospital	Library	Village Hall/Comm Centre	Preschool /Nursery /Creche	Bus Service	Rail Service	Proximity to Employment site	Proximity to main Settlement	Rec. Park/ Sports Rec. area	Leisure Centre, etc.	
Abingdon	6	6	3	0	0	0	12	2	3	2	2	4	4	2	1	1	3	0	4	0	2	2	59
Appleford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	7
Appleton	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	11
Ardington	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	1	1	0	13
Ashbury	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0.5	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9.5
Baulking	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3
Bayworth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Besselsleigh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	6
Blewbury	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	16
Boars Hill	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4
Botley	3	3	0	0	0	0	7	2	3	1	1	2	0	2	1	0	3	0	4	0	2	0	34
Bourton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5
Buckland	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	11
Buscot	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
Carswell Marsh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charney Bassett	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6
Childrey	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	13
Chilton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	8
Coleshill	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	9
Compton Beauchamp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Cothill	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
Cumnor	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	17
Denchworth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
Drayton	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	20
Dry Sandford	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	8

Settlement	Education			Shops				Wors -hip	Cater- ing	Financial		Health		Community			Spatial/Transport				Recreation		Total
	Primary School	Middle/ Secondary School	Further Education	Supermarket	Local Shop	Petrol Station shop	Retail Study Score	Places of Worship	Food /Drinks Outlets	Post Office	Bank/ Building Society	Medical Centre	Hospital	Library	Village Hall/Comm Centre	Preschool /Nursery /Creche	Bus Service	Rail Service	Proximity to Employment site	Proximity to main Settlement	Rec. Park/ Sports Rec. area	Leisure Centre, etc.	
East Challow	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	2	0	14
East Hanney	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	14
East Hendred	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	16
Eaton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	4
Eaton Hastings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Faringdon	6	3	0	0	0	0	7	2	3	1	1	4	0	2	1	1	3	0	4	0	2	2	42
Farmoor	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	11
Fernham	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	6
Frilford	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	10
Fyfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	6
Garford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Ginge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Goosey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Gozzards Ford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
Great Coxwell	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	8
Grove	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	2	1	1	4	0	2	1	1	3	0	4	0	2	0	35
Harwell	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	15
Hatford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Hinton Waldrist	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
Idstone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kennington	3	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	2	1	0	2	0	2	1	1	3	0	1	1	2	0	27
Kingston Bagpuize with Southmoor	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	17
Kingston Lisle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	7
Kingstone Winslow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Letcombe Bassett	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	5

Settlement	Education			Shops				Wors -hip	Cater- ing	Financial		Health		Community			Spatial/Transport				Recreation		Total
	Primary School	Middle/ Secondary School	Further Education	Supermarket	Local Shop	Petrol Station shop	Retail Study Score	Places of Worship	Food /Drinks Outlets	Post Office	Bank/ Building Society	Medical Centre	Hospital	Library	Village Hall/Comm Centre	Preschool /Nursery /Creche	Bus Service	Rail Service	Proximity to Employment site	Proximity to main Settlement	Rec. Park/ Sports Rec. area	Leisure Centre, etc.	
Letcombe Regis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	9
Little Coxwell	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	0	9
Littleworth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	0	9
Lockinge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
Longcot	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	10
Longworth	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	10
Lyford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Marcham	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	2	0	15
Milton	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	1	2	0	16
Milton Heights	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	10
Netherton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
North Hinksey	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	7
Pusey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Radley	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	3	1	1	2	0	19
Rowstock	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	7
Shellingford	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	8
Shippon	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	13
Shrivenham	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	3	0	2	0	2	0	27
South Hinksey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	9
Sparsholt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
Stanford in the Vale	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	15
Steventon	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	2	0	2	0	20
Sunningwell	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	12
Sutton Courtenay	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	1	2	0	21
Tubney	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
Uffington	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	14
Upton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	9

Settlement	Education			Shops				Wors -hip	Cater- ing	Financial		Health		Community			Spatial/Transport				Recreation		Total
	Primary School	Middle/ Secondary School	Further Education	Supermarket	Local Shop	Petrol Station shop	Retail Study Score	Places of Worship	Food /Drinks Outlets	Post Office	Bank/ Building Society	Medical Centre	Hospital	Library	Village Hall/Comm Centre	Preschool /Nursery /Creche	Bus Service	Rail Service	Proximity to Employment site	Proximity to main Settlement	Rec. Park/ Sports Rec. area	Leisure Centre, etc.	
Wantage	6	3	0	0	0	0	10	2	3	2	2	4	4	2	1	1	3	0	4	0	2	2	51
Watchfield	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	2	0	1	0	20
West Challow	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	5
West Hanney	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
West Hendred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	9
Woolstone	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Wootton	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	1	2	0	24
Wytham	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	10

## APPENDIX 3: CHANGES FROM LAST UPDATE (MARCH 2013)

Settlement	Total	March '13 Score	Changes
<b>Abingdon</b>	59		Added to study
<b>Wantage</b>	51		Added to study
<b>Faringdon</b>	42		Added to study
<b>Grove</b>	35		Added to study
<b>Botley</b>	34		Added to study
<b>Kennington</b>	27	25	Post office separated from shops; Child care facilities
<b>Shrivenham</b>	27	23	Places of worship >3; Child care facilities; Post office separated from shops; Banks/building societies added;
<b>Wootton</b>	24	23	Post office separate from shops
<b>Sutton Courtenay</b>	21	21	
<b>Drayton</b>	20	20	
<b>Steventon</b>	20	19	Child care facilities
<b>Watchfield</b>	20	19	Child care facilities
<b>Radley</b>	19	19	
<b>Cumnor</b>	17	17	
<b>Kingston Bagpuize with Southmoor</b>	17	18	No medical facilities present
<b>Blewbury</b>	16	16	
<b>East Hendred</b>	16	16	
<b>Milton</b>	16	15	Facilities comparable to village hall present. Can be rented to local community.
<b>Harwell</b>	15	15	
<b>Marcham</b>	15	14	Child care facilities
<b>Stanford in the Vale</b>	15	19	Loss of pharmacy, day-to-day shop, public houses and medical facilities
<b>East Challow</b>	14	14	
<b>East Hanney</b>	14	14	
<b>Uffington</b>	14	14	
<b>Ardington</b>	13	13	
<b>Childrey</b>	13	13	
<b>Shippon</b>	13	14	Lost of day-to-day shop.
<b>Appleton</b>	11	12	Only one public house
<b>Sunningwell</b>	12	12	
<b>Buckland</b>	11	11	
<b>Farmoor</b>	11	12	Only one day-to-day shop.
<b>Frilford</b>	10	10	
<b>Longcot</b>	10	10	
<b>Longworth</b>	10	10	
<b>Milton Heights</b>	10	10	
<b>Ashbury</b>	9.5	9	Limited post office services now separated from shops.
<b>Coleshill</b>	9	9	
<b>Letcombe Regis</b>	9	10	Loss of place of worship
<b>Little Coxwell</b>	9	9	

Littleworth	9	9	
South Hinksey	9	9	
Upton	9	9	
West Hendred	9	9	
Wytham	10	9	Local shop added
Chilton	8	8	
			The village hall in Wootton is also in active use by the people of Dry Sandford
Dry Sandford	8	7	
Great Coxwell	8	8	
Shellingford	8	8	
			<b>Upgraded to small village.</b> Within 5km of employment site (Culham science centre)
Appleford	7	6	
Kingston Lisle	7	7	
North Hinksey	7	7	
Rowstock	7	7	
West Hanney	7	7	
Besselsleigh	6	6	
Buscot	6	6	
			<b>Downgraded to open countryside.</b> Post office closed.
Charney Bassett	6	7	
Fernham	6	6	
Fyfield	6	6	
Bourton	5	5	
Denchworth	5	5	
Letcombe Bassett	5	5	
West Challow	5	4	Shop at petrol station.
Boars Hill	4	4	
Cothill	4	4	
Eaton	4	4	
Garford	4	4	
Gozzards Ford	4	4	
Hinton Waldrist	4	4	
Lockinge	4	4	
Sparsholt	4	4	
Tubney	4	4	
Woolstone	4	4	
Baulking	3	3	
Hatford	3	3	
Bayworth	2	2	
Goosey	2	2	
Netherton	2	2	
Compton Beauchamp	1	1	
Eaton Hastings	1	1	
Ginge	1	1	
Idstone	1	1	
Lyford	1	1	
Pusey	1	1	
Carswell Marsh	0	0	
Kingstone Winslow	0	0	



هذه الوثيقة متاحة باللغة العربية عند الطلب.

Arabic

এই ডকুমেন্ট অনুরোধে বাংলায় পাওয়া যায়।

Bengali

ਇਹ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਮੰਗ ਕੇ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਵਿਚ ਵੀ ਲਿਆ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ।

Punjabi

درخواست پر یہ دستاویز اردو میں بھی مل سکتی ہے۔

Urdu

本文件可以應要求，製作成中文 (繁體字) 版本。

Chinese

Other language versions and alternative formats  
of this publication are available on request.  
These include large print, Braille, audio  
cassette, computer disk and email.

**Planning Policy Team**

Abbey House, Abbey Close,  
Abingdon, OX14 3JE

Tel: 01235 540499 Fax: 01235 540397

Email: [planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk)

[www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk](http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk)



**Vale  
of White Horse**  
District Council



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE