



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:
Strategic Sites and Policies**
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mr

First Name

David

Last Name

Blomley

Job Title

Partner

(where relevant)

Organisation

Marshalls Chartered Surveyors

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

1 The Green

Line 2

East Hanney

Line 3

Wantage

Line 4

Oxfordshire

Post Code

OX12 0HH

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

(where relevant)

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP4

Proposals Map

East Hanney housing site

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

x

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

x

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

x

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

There has been insufficient time for consultation and the process is both complicated and difficult.

The proposal is not sound. The site will dramatically change the character of the village, which has

already been subject to considerable enlargement in recent years. This is a village with a large Conservation Area and many character houses. This development will increase the size of the village by more than half.

The village is designated as large, which is factually incorrect. There is no church, the school is shared with West Hanney, there is only a poor community shop, the travelling library is about to be discontinued, the Hall is shared with West Hanney, there are very few other facilities.

The site is outside the village envelope. It is on good quality agricultural land.

It includes an area close to the Letcombe Brook which is susceptible to flooding and is an important wildlife area.

The village school is already at overcapacity and could not cope with a major expansion of the population.

The village is already a rat run with a dangerous amount of through traffic. Additional traffic would be adding to the danger within the village.

The A338 is already at full capacity with slowmoving traffic backing up from Wantage at peak times. The expansion of Wantage and Grove will further increase traffic. Even more housing of this proposed site will further add to the problem.

The village has flooded severely in the past on several occasions. Building on a Greenfield site upstream of the village will add further risk. The Brook takes the treated sewage from Wantage and Grove already. There is grave concern that the existing proposed expansion of these areas will risk overloading of the Brook, even without additional houses in Hanney.

There are poor transport links to anywhere other than Wantage and Oxford. The development is not sustainable. The majority of the occupants will need to use cars to travel to work in the science areas, to shop or to access leisure facilities.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The village needs to be reclassified as SMALL.

The proposal is not sustainable. It should be dropped or redirected.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☒

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary: ☐

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:



Date:

14/12/14