

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="X"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I think 200 houses on this site is far too many for East Hanney. It would approximately double the size of the village and taken together with the proposed development at Wantage and Grove greatly increase the flooding risk both from surface drainage water and over-stretched sewerage systems. We are warned that existing sewers and treatment works are already at full capacity and there is no plan by Thames water to increase capacity. Similarly, we may find that pressure in water mains is affected without upgrades. These matters would need to be addressed in anticipation of all the new housing schemes, not retrospectively. My next concern is that such a large development is inappropriate for a village of Hanney's size (not a 'large village' though designated as such for the Local Plan purposes, apparently because of its presently having a mobile library service, about to be withdrawn anyway). A few small-scale developments might be more appropriate depending on finding suitable brown-field sites. With such a large development, there would also be greatly increased congestion on local roads through the village and on the A338, a road operating to capacity already at peak times and that is before the massive developments at Wantage and Grove (and the many smaller scale ones throughout the VoWH). Lastly, I am concerned about loss of wildlife, biodiversity and cultural heritage in the fields and along Letcombe Brook as a result of this particular site being developed. I particularly appreciate the existing bird life of the neighbourhood and I have some concern about its archaeological and historic significance too.