

Comment

Consultee	Mr Brett Farmery (872773)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	21 Lovelace Close Abingdon OX14 1XW
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Brett Farmery
Comment ID	LPPub1148
Response Date	19/12/14 14:06
Consultation Point	Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. North of Abingdon-on-Thames

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

North Abingdon (and North-west) site is within Green Belt. Triangle site off Radley Road (south of ring road) was refused as it was also on green belt land, with one of the refusal reasons being the green belt designation. Nothing has changed, and if anything, that triangle site is more appropriate

for at least some of the development proposed. Green belt designation can only be overcome if overriding need is demonstrated. That overriding need is not demonstrated.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Relocate the allocation to other sites that do not have a green belt designation, or provide the appropriate justification.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination