## Comment

Consultee Mr R Garrett (831316)

**Email Address** 

**Address** 11 Rose Avenue

> Abingdon Unknown

**Event Name** Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Mr R Garrett

**Comment ID** LPPub4188

**Response Date** 26/01/15 11:41

**Consultation Point** Core Policy 45: Green Infrastructure ( View )

Submitted Status

**Submission Type** Email

Version 0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

Yes

No

N/A

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with Yes the Duty to Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Loss of Green Belt habitat for wild-life (e.g Red Kites and Skylarks) as well as a countryside amenity will be lost if the development takes place to the north and north-west of Abingdon. The proposed green spaces there will be inadequate to compensate. Also, it is worth noting that there would be an adverse impact on the neighbouring Blake?s Wood Ancient Woodland

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Maintain the Green Belt for the reasons it was established and for green infrastructure.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Whilst not necessary as I am sure the Inspector will consider these submissions, I would value hearing the process and would be willing to answer any additional questions