

Comment

Agent	Mr Philip Rawle (879101)
Email Address	philip.rawle@prp-consultants.com
Company / Organisation	PRP Consultants
Address	Unit 2B, The Tack Room Worcester Road Worcester WR6 6NH
Consultee	Greenlight Developments Greenlight Developments (879102)
Address	Unknown Unknown Unknown
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Greenlight Developments Greenlight Developments
Comment ID	LPPub3356
Response Date	22/01/15 10:58
Consultation Point	Core Policy 3: Settlement Hierarchy (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.8
Files	APPENDIX 1 (Greenlight Developments).pdf
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support

the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We agree that East Challow should be classified in the Settlement Classifications as a Local Service Centre, and that Wantage should be classified as a Market Town. We note that the explanatory text for Local Service Centres states that they are the next best opportunities for sustainable development outside of the Market Towns. However, we consider that this explanatory text should be expanded upon to confirm, as per the explanatory text for Market Towns, that they have good long-term potential for development to provide homes to help sustain, and where appropriate, enhance their services and facilities to support viable and sustainable communities in a proportionate manner.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A further explanation of the role Local Service Centre can play is required, as detailed in the comments made under Point 5 above. These larger villages are sustainable enough to accommodate Districtwide housing growth, and the policy needs to specifically state this.

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

***Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

The nature of our representations is strategic and has key implications.