Comment

Consultee	Mrs Teresa Griffiths (871400)
Email Address	
Address	High Corner Main Street Chilton OX11 0RZ
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Pu
Comment by	Mrs Teresa Griffiths
Comment ID	LPPub169
Response Date	11/12/14 11:54
Consultation Point	Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs (<u>View</u>
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such a planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Yes Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comp to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 4.18 states that the majority of the VWHDCs strategic housing growth is allocated in the South East Vale, proportion of that in the south east corner of the South East Vale. This is predominantly to support economic growth in the Science Vale.

Core Policy 4 details where in the South East Vale 10,320 dwellings are located, including 1,400 in the North Wesse In addition to the 10,320 houses currently allocated to the South East Vale by the VWHDC, 3,300 houses are curre within the Vale at Great Western Park, Didcot. South Oxfordshire District Council has further allocated 2,330 house area on the basis of speculative job creation within the Science Vale. This brings the housing provision for supportin Vale to 10,320 + 3,300 + 2,330 = 15,950 dwellings.

However, the Science Vale sits at the Unitary Boundary between the Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire (S Oxfordshire District Council are allocating up to a further 3,540 houses to the Didcot area in order to support the ? (Option B:

http://www.southoxon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2014-06-05_SODC%20LP2031%20ISSUES%20&%20OPTIONS%20LE This would bring the total number of dwellings up to 15,950 + 3,540 = 19,490 new homes.

Furthermore, 275 houses have just been completed at Chilton, an additional 200 houses are being built at Harwell, outline planning permission for another 125 homes to the north of the Harwell Oxford Campus (these housing alloc shown in the maps of Chilton and the Harwell Oxford Campus in the Local Plan). Taking these into account, the tota allocated to supporting the science vale is actually 19,490 + 275 + 200 + 125 = 20,090 houses.

With the Science Vale ambitiously speculating to create up to 16,000 jobs, then it would appear that with up to 20,0 built in the general area, that there is more than an adequate provision of housing to support the predicted econom direct result of this, it would seem reasonable to remove 1,000 of the 1,400 houses allocated to the North Wessex D relocate them elsewhere, without it being detrimental to the economic growth plans of the Science Vale. This strateg weight by the following quotes from the appendices to the report by URS on the ?Strategic Assessment of the Vale Local Plan 2031 Part 1: Appendices? which state that:

?A low growth approach at Harwell Oxford Campus would require development elsewhere across the district to meet On this basis it could be argued that a wider distribution of growth (and spending power) could be more beneficial i rural areas more ? particularly those areas in the rural west of the district? (SOURCE: URS SA Report, Appendice SA 6), and

?There is a likelihood that residents in new housing areas at Harwell Oxford Campus would access employment opp afield. This has the potential to increase traffic on the A34 which is already known to be congested and operating o capacity in peak periods? (SOURCE: URS SA Report, Appendices, Appendix 14, SA3).

Hence, the headline strategic of allocating 10,320 dwellings to the ?Science Vale? area is misleading when in fact houses are being built or have been allocated to the area in total, including around Didcot. As a result, housing pro Science Vale is significant.

Therefore, the decision to continue to use the Science Vale as a justification for large strategic housing sites in the and in particular to allocate an unprecedented 1,400 houses to mainly greenfield sites within the North Wessex Do unsound. Note there is uncertainty and contradiction in the Plan on housing numbers and this Alone makes the Pla (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance we co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy be as precise as possible.

In order to make the Local Plan sound and legally compliant, and protect the North Wessex Downs AONB, the follow are necessary:

- 1 Remove the entire allocation of 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus.
- 2 Remove the additional allocation of 150 homes from the North West Harwell Campus (eg reduce the number 550 to 400 including the 125 already given outline permission)).
- 3 Include provision of up to 400 new homes (including the 125 already given outline permission) at the North W Campus, provided that all development is contained within the perimeter of the Harwell Oxford Campus and is the Harwell Oxford Campus.
- 4 Reallocate the 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus and the additional 150 houses from the North West (1,000 houses in total) to other sites already identified by the Vale of White Horse, for example:

? (a) Valley Park (which has already been assessed as having additional capacity for up to a further 1,200 homes) (capacity for 425 houses), or ? (c) Land West of Steventon (capacity for 350 houses), or ? (d) Distributed throughout in order to encourage and support economic growth and prosperity more equally across the district.

- 1 Or reduce the total SHMA allocation for the District by 1000
- 2 Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB entirely from the Science Vale ?Ringfence? in order to protect it from f development should the Science Vale fall behind in delivery of its housing targets.

Only by implementing these steps in full will the Local Plan be compliant with the NPPF paragraphs 115, 116 and t 2000.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make furthe based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues I for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examina