

## Comment

Consultee	Mr David Hastings (872880)
Email Address	
Address	68 Lindsay Drive Abingdon OX14 2RT
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr David Hastings
Comment ID	LPPub1233
Response Date	22/12/14 11:08
Consultation Point	Core Policy 7: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** Yes

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** Yes

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Modifications to both local roads and highways to manage the increase in traffic and pollution will far exceed the Community Infrastructure Levy and other sources of funding.

The funding of the required new A34 interchange at Lodge Hill is meant to come partly from the LEP (Infrastructure Delivery Plan Appendix 1) but there is no guarantee that this will be forthcoming. Necessary improvements to Dunmore Road and Twelve Acre Drive are meant to be funded by the County Council (Infrastructure Delivery Plan Appendix 1) but continuing reductions in local government funding mean they are unlikely to have the money for this.

I cannot see how public services and infrastructure, which are already over-stretched in many places, can possibly be improved within the required timescale to meet such a great increase in demand.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

The Council should be required by the Inspector to assess the SHMA against social, environmental and infrastructure considerations, and revise the Plan accordingly.

***Please note*** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

***After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.***

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination