## Comment

Mr John Huddleston (827918) Consultee

**Email Address** 

**Address** 4 St James Road

> Radley Abingdon **OX14 3AQ**

**Event Name** Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Mr John Huddleston

**Comment ID** LPPub1251

22/12/14 11:52 **Response Date** 

**Consultation Point** Core Policy 13: The Oxford Green Belt ( View )

Status Submitted

**Submission Type** Email

0.3 Version

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

No

N/A

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down

list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with No the Duty to Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Government guidance (6th March 2014) states that ?Unmet housing need is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the green belt and other harm to constitute the ?very exceptional circumstances? justifying

inappropriate development on a site within the green belt?. The Vale has not demonstrated that there are exceptional circumstances

Further the North Abingdon Site east of the Oxford Road towards Peachcroft Farm was not included in the April Consultation and has not been subject to public consultation in the same way as other areas.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The North Abingdon (Lodge Hiill to Peachcroft Farm) should be withdrawn until a full green belt review is made and publically examined.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, No - I do not wish to participate at the oral do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

examination