Comment

Consultee Mrs Carolyn Jessop (872594)

Email Address

Address Ashbrook

> Abingdon OX14 2SL

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Mrs Carolyn Jessop

Comment ID LPPub1019

Response Date 19/12/14 10:28

Consultation Point Local Plan 2031 Publication Version (View)

Submitted Status

Submission Type Web

Version 0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a South of Kennington (Radley Parish) core policy please select this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with No the Duty to Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The consultation process has been POOR.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Consultation timing and procedures for responding are dreadful.

There has been an overwhelming amount of technical information presented to the general public which has been intimidating to respond alone. Then in order to respond you have to work through an online process which is both time consuming and complicated. It assumes a certain level of intelligence/educational background to respond.

It also assumes time and for working families at Christmas it is one of the busiest times of year (assuming no unexpected events such as death or illness in the family) which makes it challenging to respond.

How can any responses be representative of the community?

How does this process for the Planning Inspector capture the scale of opposition and voices/representations made at Public Meetings?

Without the various public meetings a number of us would not have stood a chance of interpreting the overwhelming amount of technical information presented.

How are the Council addressing age discrimination in collecting responses?

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No - I do not wish to participate at the examination