

Comment

Consultee	Mr Geoffrey Keene (871042)
Email Address	<div></div>
Address	little thatch chapel lane faringdon sn77ry
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Geoffrey Keene
Comment ID	LPPub68
Response Date	09/12/14 16:28
Consultation Point	Core Policy 3: Settlement Hierarchy (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Page 37 of the Local Plan 2031 on the Vale of White Horse website incorrectly classifies the villages of East Challow, Shrivenham, Stanford-in-the-Vale, Uffington and Watchfield as Local Service Centres rather than Large Villages. They cannot be compared to places such as Grove or Botley so presumably this is an unintentional error which needs to be corrected.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination