

Comment

Consultee	Mr Clive Manvell (829424)
Email Address	
Address	Tamarisk Main Street Wantage OX12 0JE
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Clive Manvell
Comment ID	LPPub816
Response Date	18/12/14 12:32
Consultation Point	Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. South of East Hanney

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Housing Plan does not include expansion of the capacity of the sewage works which currently struggles to meet the needs of the communities it serves ? Grove, Wantage and the Hanneys. During

this calendar year there have been several instances where the system in East Hanney has been unable to cope and sewage has emerged through manhole covers. With all the current developments in the village and in Grove the consequences are entirely predictable and unacceptable. In nearly doubling the requirement through the proposed developments without the necessary significant upgrades before any new building commences points to the fact that the Plan is not deliverable and is therefore not effective resulting the conclusion that it is unsound.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Plan as proposed for the site south of East Hanney should be abandoned as there has been no consultation regarding the proposal and what is proposed has not been justified and is unsound.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination