

"Effective" only in commencing the destruction of the Green Belt by gradually whittling away at it - contrast to the original Act of Parliament describing it as permanent. Totally "unjustified"; an affront to good planning principles; which, instead, should reinforce the values of the Green Belt.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☒

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary: ☐

Core Policy B: should, I suggest, be rewritten as follows:

"The Oxford Green Belt will be preserved in its entirety.

No development of any kind will be allowed on it except in the event of a national emergency; and every effort will be made to re-green those parts of the Green Belt that have become unsightly"

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

18 Dec 2014