

What I would like to propose to the Inspector is something completely different - and something that would go a long way both to helping with the totally unresolved question of the transport infrastructure and setting an example ~~best~~ possibly to many other local authorities - viz a car-free development.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☒

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary: ☐

By the time of the Examination in Public, I would hope - with the help of architect ~~Mr~~ Mark Huns and "Grand Design" maestro Kevin McCloud - either to have developed a feasible Masterplan along these lines (after having visited European cities such as Freiburg where such schemes are in place) or to acknowledge that such a scheme is unrealistic in this country at the moment; and to produce a more conventional Masterplan; though one incorporating at least certain elements of this conception.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

17 Dec 2014