

## Comment

<b>Agent</b>	Ms Gemma Care (741289)
<b>Email Address</b>	gemma.care@bartonwillmore.co.uk
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Barton Willmore
<b>Address</b>	Beansheaf Farmhouse Reading RG31 7BW
<b>Consultee</b>	Radley College & Kibswell Homes Radley College & Kibswell Homes (873611)
<b>Address</b>	Unknown Unknown Unknown
<b>Event Name</b>	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
<b>Comment by</b>	Radley College & Kibswell Homes Radley College & Kibswell Homes
<b>Comment ID</b>	LPPub3748
<b>Response Date</b>	19/12/14 13:11
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Email
<b>Version</b>	0.3
<b>Files</b>	<a href="#">741289 LPPub.pdf</a>
<b>Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)</b>	Yes
<b>If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.</b>	N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** Yes

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Is the Plan consistent with national planning policy? 2.4.14 The Freeholder supports the overall strategic approach that the Council have taken and consider the Plan to be consistent with the requirements of national planning policy in terms of seeking to meet objectively assessed housing targets and taking the opportunity to undertake a review of its Green Belt boundaries in order to help meet this need on otherwise sustainable sites in the short term.

2.4.15 In all respects, policy CP4 is considered sound, albeit the Freeholder submits that there is scope to amend the policy to include an extended allocation comprising an amalgamation of both the North and North West Radley sites.

***Please note*** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

***After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.***

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination