



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:  
Strategic Sites and Policies**  
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official  
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email [planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk) no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

## Part A

### 1. Personal Details\*

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	Doctor	
First Name	Nicholas	
Last Name	Richardson	
Job Title (where relevant)	Retired	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	The Old House	
Line 2	72 High Street	
Line 3	Sutton Courtenay	
Line 4	Abingdon	
Post Code	OX14 4AS	
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address (where relevant)		

## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

4.10

Policy

Four

Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

☐

No

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

☐

No

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

☐

No

No

*Please mark as appropriate.*

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The SHMA is unsound and unsustainable. Its forecasts are grossly overstated. The Council has failed to take proper account of criticisms of these. The timescale for improving infrastructure is also unrealistic.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Much lower figures for growth should be used by the Vale.

Site allocations in Green Belt & N.Wessex Downs AONB should be removed.

Proposals for improving infrastructure should be revised.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

**No**, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ Yes

**Yes**, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary: ☐


Because Council have not paid sufficient attention to public's criticisms, and the cumulative effect of the proposals.

I am particularly concerned that the proposed site in Sutton Courtenay is unsoundly based.

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who

*have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

Signature:



Date:

14<sup>th</sup> Dec. 2014

