Comment

Consultee Mr Jeremy Slatter (872739)

Email Address

Address 14 Little Howe Close

> Radley Abingdon **OX14 3AJ**

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Mr Jeremy Slatter

Comment ID LPPub1096

19/12/14 12:54 **Response Date**

Consultation Point 2 Chapter 2: Key Challenges and Opportunities (

View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

Nο

No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a

North West of Radley

core policy please select this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with No the Duty to Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

This policy states that cooperation with other Oxfordshire Authorities will include a full strategic review of the whole of the Oxford green belt. This seems inconsistent with the Vale having carried out their

own review, and would seem to raise the possibility of a succession of reviews each time a new housing needs assessment is produced. The National Planning Policy Framework(NPPF) makes it clear that a green belt boundary should only be altered in ?exceptional circumstances?. Government guidance (6 th March 2014) states that ?Unmet housing need is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the green belt and other harm to constitute the ?very exceptional circumstances? justifying inappropriate development on a site within the green belt

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, No - I do not wish to participate at the oral do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

examination