

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation : Kelvin Sykes

3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?

Paragraph **5.39**

Policy **CP 13**

Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the DPD is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes



No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

NO

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate



No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The preservation of the green belt around Oxford is paramount to prevent urban sprawl. Central Government advice and policy is clearly against piecemeal whittling away of designated green belt land.

The areas in north Abingdon designated as potentially suitable for housing support a variety of wildlife and this habitat would be lost for ever.

The land is also valuable and productive farm land, and it provides a major recreational area for people to walk and enjoy the countryside which would be lost if houses were to be built on it.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

TYPE **YOUR** VIEWS HERE-

The current green belt should be preserved in accordance with the views of central government.
The Council's case for building on the green belt land is not sound as the forecast of the number of jobs and houses required in the area is overestimated and cannot be accurately forecast over such a long period..

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

NO

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

18
Dec
2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation : Kelvin Sykes

3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?

Paragraph

6.108

Policy

CP44

Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the DPD is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes



No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

NO

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate



No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The historic character of the market town of Abingdon will be permanently and detrimentally affected if development of urban sprawl is allowed to take place by piecemeal development of parts of it around North Abingdon.

The development borders the ancient woodland of Blake's Oak which would be detrimentally affected by the proposed development.

Public footpaths would be overwhelmed by the number of houses which would be on higher ground and have an adverse effect on public amenity.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

TYPE **YOUR** VIEWS HERE-

The proposed development of houses on the green belt land to north of Abingdon should be removed from the plan.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

NO

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

18 Dec 2014

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Core Strategy does this representation relate?

Paragraph

5.8

Policy

CP8

Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the DPD is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes



No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

NO

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate



No

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The policy states that the Council's over-arching priority for this sub-area of north Abingdon is to "... ensure growth is managed to minimise pressure on the highway network whilst protecting the Oxford green belt".

They are not protecting the green belt by proposing to build 1000 houses on it. estimates of the number of extra vehicles this will entail using the already congested roads around Abingdon are up to 1500 but this is I think an underestimate as many houses in the long furlong estate already have three or even four cars per house – possibly as a result of children not moving out of the family home until much later in life.

I work in Reading and it already takes over half an hour to travel the two miles or so to get across Abingdon with congestion being particularly bad on Dunmore Road between 7.30 am and 9.00 am and also in the town centre. The return journey is also very congested.

The additional houses would distribute additional traffic onto Dunmore Road at peak travel times and make an already poor situation for existing residents even worse.

The community levy is unlikely to produce enough money to improve the road networks.

Dunmore Road was supposed to be an outer ring road to alleviate traffic in the town centre but if houses are built on the far side from the existing long furlong development it will no longer be an outer ring road.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

TYPE **YOUR** VIEWS HERE-

The proposed development of housing on the oxford green belt should be removed from the plan.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

NO

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:



Date:

18 Dec 2014