



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:  
Strategic Sites and Policies**  
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official  
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email [planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk) no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

## Part A

### 1. Personal Details\*

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	Mrs	
First Name	Carol	
Last Name	Trower	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	31 Home Close	
Line 2	Wootton	
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code	OX13 6DD	
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address (where relevant)		

## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Sub areas  
Strategy  
p62

Policy

Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared,  
Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-  
operate

Yes

No

*Please mark as appropriate.*

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I believe the plan is eloquently written but it does not tell the whole story. Hidden in the small print on P62 is a reference to a report which fundamentally changes parts of the Green Belt. Nowhere in the local plan is this referred to in a manner that would be obvious to the public and this leads to the conclusion that this is a deliberate attempt to hide these changes.

I believe firmly that any changes to the Green Belt are wrong but that any plans to make such changes must be debated openly and honestly. I can only comment in detail on my local area but this would apply equally to the other villages. The revised local plan states that the views from Lamborough Hill should be preserved and shows that the initial housing allocation has been moved from the village. However, in the Green Belt Review, not only is there a proposal to re-zone this particular area but also plans to re-zone the area around the local community centre which includes playing fields and community space and also a third area of open fields. Allowing the use of any of this land for housing development would radically change the nature of the area and remove the social amenity of the views and rurality in the area.

There are already plans for housing on the business park site which have not been developed and therefore there is no need for further development in the village. The village has been graded as a large village but it has few facilities, an ever-decreasing public transport service, no GP service and two schools that have no capacity. The only development that should be allowed is the existing approved Deerhurst Park development and simple in-fill development in keeping with the area – not large scale housing developments.

I believe that the need for housing is in the towns and the developments in Abingdon are well planned and have sufficient infrastructure to be sustainable.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The consultation process documentation does not invite comment – it is not written with the general public in mind. I make that comment here because there is nowhere else on the form to do so.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☒

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

A black rectangular box redacting the signature.

Date:

17/12/14

