

## Comment

Consultee	Mr Toby Wright (829318)
Email Address	
Address	14 lovelace close abingdon ox14 1xw
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Toby Wright
Comment ID	LPPub697
Response Date	17/12/14 15:18
Consultation Point	Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	North of Abingdon-on-Thames
If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)	
Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?	Yes

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

**SO 9 :** Seek to ensure new development is accompanied by appropriate and timely infrastructure delivery to secure effective sustainable transport choices for new residents and businesses.

unsound Abingdon is already over congested and desperately needs the diamond interchange at lodgehill completing to relieve the strain. This needs to be completed, or guaranteed before any more houses are built, especially this development, which would put an additional 1200 cars on abingdon's roads, all needing to get on the a34 south, to the nearest place of work, culham. So in this case, 'timely' means the lodgehill interchange needs to be done before this development could be approved or started.

**Please note** *your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination