

Comment

Consultee	Mr Paul Wyman (866485)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	Brook Cottage The Millham West Hendred OX12 8RN
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Paul Wyman
Comment ID	LPPub14
Response Date	18/11/14 10:15
Consultation Point	Core Policy 15: Spatial Strategy for South East Vale Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

If you add up the proposed extra housing surrounding Didcot it is 5750 houses. Didcot is also expanding rapidly and has ambitious house-building plans. The roads cannot cope today. I know you expect to

improve some junctions but these tweaks are wholly inadequate. It will be impossible for all these people to get onto the A34, to use the A34, to get to the station or local schools during the 0730-0930 period (& other times).

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The A34 needs an extra lane both sides from Chilton to the Oxford Ring Road south.

The access to the A34 needs a dedicated lane in each direction to stop backing up for miles.

The station car waiting area (already forcing queues back up the road & stopping buses etc, in spite of only being opened a few weeks) needs doubling.

The road to the station from the A34 needs dualling ALL the way (or Harwell & Didcot side roads will be become even more clogged as well).

Buses are not an option for mass transit until they are fast, frequent and have dedicated lanes (see London experience).

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination