



Local Plan 2031 Part 2
Publication Version
Representation Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse
Local Plan 2031 Part 2

Please return by 5pm on Wednesday 22 November 2017 to: Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, 135 Eastern Avenue, Milton Park, Milton, Abingdon, OX14 4SB or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk

This form has two parts:

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	<input type="text" value="Miss"/>	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text" value="Rosemary"/>	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text" value="Roberts"/>	<input type="text"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation representing (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 2	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 3	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Postal Town	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Email Address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Sharing your details: please see page 3

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or organisation: Rosemary Roberts

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

La+8a

Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is: *(Please tick as appropriate)*

4. (1) Legally compliant

Yes

☐

No

☐

4. (2) Sound

☐

No

☒

4. (3) Complies with the Duty to Cooperate

Yes

☐

No

☐

5. Please provide details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the Duty to Cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I refer to the Sustainability Appraisal Report Non Technical Summary pages 3 and 4.

Point 1 'Provide sufficient suitable homes – provide appropriate types in appropriate locations.' The KBAG_A (Fyfield site) provides neither of these requirements. The building of 600-700 is not appropriate to add to a village with 136 homes, which is for the most part a conservation area and is totally out of scale with the village. Even when considering, as the Council would like us to think that it is actually adding to Kingston Bagpuise, which it is not, that village has doubled in size and with another 700 homes is again totally disproportionate to the village as it is.

Point 2. 'Ensure availability of high quality services, supply services including health, education, recreation etc.' Fyfield and Kingston Bagpuise has no doctors surgeries, those in other areas nearby are to capacity, there is not adequate schooling in the area.

Point 3. 'Reduce the need to travel- issues, congestion on local road network, reduce the need to travel, need to mitigate/reduce effects of noise, air and light pollution'. The Fyfield site will increase traffic on the already congested roads the A420, which is running at capacity at the moment with congestion at peak times, a road that is dangerous and often has major accidents on it. The Fyfield site will also increase traffic flow through Marcham, another area where traffic congestion is a concern. This site is also not near any areas of employment, so it does not reduce the need to travel. The site will also subject the village of Fyfield to increased air pollution with additional traffic, and light pollution where hitherto the area has little to no light pollution at all.

Point 4. 'Improve the health and wellbeing of Vale residents.' How does an additional 700

homes added to a village of 136 homes add to the health and wellbeing of residents in Fyfield. It does not. It narrows the gap between the two villages, changes the whole nature of the environment of the area, from rural to urban, all to a conservation village.

Point 6. ‘Support a strong and sustainable economy in Vale’s Towns and rural areas’ The Fyfield site is not in an area where there is economic growth and there is increased requirement for employees, such as the Vales science area. It is a rural area and should be maintained as such.

Point 7. ‘Improve the natural environment including water and soil quality’ The proposed Fyfield site would take high grade farmland out of use, this is not improving the environment. The addition of 600-700 homes would not add to the environment but take away from it.

Point 8. ‘Protect cultural heritage provide high quality landscape’ The Fyfield site is sandwiched between two conservation areas, there has been no regard to the effect of such a large site on areas that are supposed to be protected. The whole area around Fyfield is of a rural nature by adding 700 homes to the village with this site, turns that and the adjoining village Kinston Bagpuise into an urban area, bordering on suburban area, in the middle of idyllic countryside. This proposed site is to go ahead it will change the nature of the area and the communities it affects for ever, losing valuable farming land, countryside and village life.

Along with the above personal representations to the proposed Fyfield site, I wholeheartedly support the representations made by the Parish Council.

The proposed Fyfield site does not comply with the Councils own Sustainability Appraisal report and is therefore unsound.

(Continue on page 4 /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at 5 above. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Fyfield site should be removed from the allocations in Policy 4a and Policy 8a.

(Continue on page 4 /expand box if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested

modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination. ✓

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☒

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

or my consultant.

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To argue in person the case that the Fyfield site does not meet the sustainability criteria.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date: 19 11 2017

Sharing your personal details

Please be aware that, due to the process of having an Independent Examination, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered. Respondent details and representations will be forwarded to the Inspector carrying out the examination of the Local Plan after the Publicity Period has ended. This data will be managed by a Programme Officer who acts as the point of contact between the council and the Inspector and respondents and the Inspector.

Representations cannot be treated as confidential and will be published on our website alongside your name. If you are responding as an individual rather than a company or organisation, we will not publish your contact details (email / postal address and telephone numbers) or signatures online, however the original representations are available for public viewing at our council office by prior appointment. All representations and related documents will be held by Vale of White Horse District Council for a period of 6 months after the Local Plan is adopted.

Would you like to hear from us in the future?

I would like to be kept informed about the progress of the Local Plan

☒

I would like to be added to the database to receive general planning updates

☐

Please do not contact me again

☐

Further comment: Please use this space to provide further comment on the relevant questions in this form. **You must state which question your comment relates to.**

Alternative formats of this form are available on request. Please contact our customer service team on 01235 422600 (Text phone users add 18001 before you dial) or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk

Please return this form by 5pm on Wednesday 22 November 2017 to: Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, 135 Eastern Avenue, Milton Park, Milton, Abingdon, OX14 4SB or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk