

Comment

Consultee	Mr John Whittle (1142783)
Email Address	
Address	

Event Name	LPP2 Publicity Period Oct - Nov 2017
Comment by	Mr John Whittle
Comment ID	127
Response Date	19/11/17 12:40
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Q1 To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? Please state the paragraph or policy or policies map.	Infrastructure Delivery Plan section 7
--	--

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	No
--	----

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound?	No
--	----

Q4 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
---	----

Q5 Please provide details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The infrastructure planning for the Dalton Barracks (Shippon) development makes no consideration of existing surrounding villages and the impact on their infrastructure. For example, it only lists consideration of junction improvements to the A34 and A315 as essential. Traffic through Shippon, Whitecross and Sunningwell are bound to be affected, and the A34 is already running at capacity, so this is a complete abdication of your responsibility to plan for the traffic impact of this development.

Q6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at 5 above. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The infrastructure impact of a proposed development must include identification of infrastructure needs of existing developments which are impact, and clarify how these will be funded.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Would you like to hear from us in the future? . I would like to be kept informed about the progress of the Local Plan