

# REPRESENTATIONS STATEMENT

**In respect of:**  
Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part 2 Examination  
Matter 8.4

**At:**  
Land at Appleton  
**On behalf of:**  
The Gow Family

Matter 8.4

William Bruce Gow writes on behalf of the Gow Family (the respondent) to make submissions of the Vale of White Horse (VoWH) Local Plan in respect of its land and development interests on land at Appleton. I will be away when this matter is discussed in open forum and so make this paper representation.

**8.4 Is the revised calculation for windfall sites in the LPP2 (both overall and in each subarea) compared to the LPP1 supported by proportionate evidence and consistent with national policy?**

- 0.1. Windfall sites will only really apply to brown field sites or those outside the Green Belt due to the restrictions of developing on land within the Green Belt.
- 0.2. So while it may help to balance the books the windfall sites are not going to help Appleton village community to maintain itself as a whole as the numbers are very likely to be small and relative to the needs of maintaining a sustainable community with its existing facilities.
- 0.3. Again this stresses the importance of the need to allocate the land at Appleton for development now and not delay any longer.
- 0.4. To overcome this issue I would propose that the plan is modified so that the allocation for 90 homes currently allocated to Marcham village is transferred to the land at Appleton village.