

Comment

Consultee Mr Paul Aram (868060)
Email Address
Address

Event Name LPP2 Publicity Period Oct - Nov 2017
Comment by Mr Paul Aram
Comment ID 233
Response Date 21/11/17 08:19
Status Submitted
Submission Type Web
Version 0.1

Q1 To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? Please state the paragraph or policy or policies map. East Hanney

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound? No

Q4 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Cooperate? No

Q5 Please provide details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The village is allocated as a Large village - it is not. We have already provided Oxford with additional housing amounting to 200 properties, we should not need to provide more, especially as larger villages have been dismissed which would have been more appropriate. Dalton Barracks could provide all of the housing needs for the Vale.

The village floods - it doesn't matter that the flood maps have been conveniently altered to deny this - it does! There is plenty of photographic evidence and testimonials of the fact - it can't be denied. The new housing on the Steventon road is currently cut off with flooding on the site.

Previous claims to be able to provide affordable housing in Hanney have been overruled even though planning was granted on the proviso that affordable housing was created. The site along Steventon road was supposed to provide 12 affordable houses - this was reduced to four by the Vale because the builder could not deliver its promise - as pointed out by the Parish Council. The sites in Hanney are not viable for affordable housing due to the additional costs of flood prevention. Existing properties are being exposed to further flooding.

The Ashfields Lane site is against the Vale's planning guidelines, it extends the village boundary and is adjacent to the conservation area, having little regard to the impact - again against Vale planning policy. It is also too dense for a boundary development.

The East site is 1.5 miles from the school and 1 mile from the shop - this is not sustainable from a walking perspective - more cars will be used. In addition the site exits onto the busy A338 and is not on a bus route to the local employment of Harwell, Oxford or more distant London.

Q6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the matter you have identified at 5 above. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Remove both sites from the plan.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

The plan whitewashes a number of important and factual points which hides the reality. It denies flooding and yet there is photographic evidence, it claims the village is more capable of sustaining the growth than it is and it claims that the impact will be less than it will be.

Would you like to hear from us in the future?

. I would like to be kept informed about the progress of the Local Plan