

## **VALE OF THE WHITE HORSE - LOCAL PLAN 2031 PART 2.**

### **Representations.**

**1. Personal details : Alexander Lambie (Mr),**

3. Representation re.Policies 4a & 8a.

4. (2) I consider the Local Plan to be UNSOUND.

(3) I consider the Duty to Cooperate has not been complied with.

5. The KBAG A site (the Fyfield site) development of 600 houses as promoted by Lioncourt demonstrates no evidence of being commercially viable. It beggars belief that anyone should propose to construct 600 new houses alongside the A420 road - a road which is already very seriously and dangerously overloaded for several hours every morning and evening, and whose speed limits are very lightly policed at all times. Affordable homes attract young people 'first time buyers', many with very young children, yet unbelievably the initial plans showed an uncontrolled pedestrian crossing from the intended site to the north side of the A420 ! The u-tube video of morning traffic going eastwards past the Digging Lane entry/exit to Fyfield shows the odd intrepid driver venturing into the eastward

stream of traffic, but NO ONE, repeat NO ONE, dares to try to turn across the flow westwards. How much more dangerous would it get if 600 houseowners, with the accompanying 600/1200 additional cars, used this road as their major thoroughfare ? Aspects of noise, fume and light pollution as may affect the existing council taxpayers in Fyfield, Tubney and Kingston Bagpuize , appear to have totally by-passed those responsible for having sponsored this ill-conceived promotion.

I consider that the Duty to Cooperate has not been complied with owing to the mis-naming of the geography of the site (it is not in Kingston Bagpuize with Southmoor, it is within the parish of Fyfield and Tubney) and the inexcusable reluctance of the authorities to harken to the views of the relevant villages' inhabitants.

6. The Vale of the white Horse DC should delete the KBAG A (Fyfield) site from the additional locations in Policy 4a and from Policy 8a.

7. Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination.

8. The development would impact on the small villages of Fyfield and Tubney in whose parish it lies. The resultant very significant increase in traffic (with its inevitable increase in noise, fume and light pollution), on an already horribly oversubscribed main thoroughfare would potentially endanger the life and limb of both existing, and new, inhabitants along the length of the A420. I believe that the inhabitants of Fyfield and Tubney have not been properly consulted - indeed they appear to have been treated in an unacceptably cavalier fashion.

I would like to be kept informed about the progress of the Local Plan.

Alexander (Alastair) Lambie.

22nd November 2017.