



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:
Strategic Sites and Policies**
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mr

First Name

Derek

Last Name

White

Job Title

(where relevant)

Organisation

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

42 Colton Road

Line 2

Shrivenham

Line 3

Swindon

Line 4

Post Code

SN6 8AZ

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

(where relevant)

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="X"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="x"/>

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The evidence in this plan is not sound and is flawed stating “capacity issues on A420 are likely to occur”.

Capacity issues already occur and have done for years. They exist on a daily basis at peak times in particular at the Shrivenham entrance to the A420 going west. The traffic backs up all the way (3 miles) to the next roundabout at Sainsbury on the A420. This is local knowledge from experience of residents at Shrivenham.

Putting in 8000 houses along this stretch of A420, 3 miles west to the Sainsbury roundabout, will produce substantially more traffic and demonstrates a lack of duty to co-operate between the Vale and Swindon BC.

The top findings on public comments in 2014 on the Vale Local Plan as reported by the Vale were:

Calls for the provision of infrastructure *before* development and concerns about the *lack of infrastructure* to support new development.

Traffic and congestion from the new development, and cumulative impact on the existing road network

Concerns about *harm to the identity and character* of existing settlements including coalescence

The Plan has not taken sufficient account of main public findings and evidence on traffic capacity issues.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant

or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Infrastructure should be in place for current A420 capacity problems.

New development in Shrivenham should not be considered until such infrastructure is in place in the future and then the plan can be considered as sound.

The Local Plan consideration for a roundabout at Shrivenham will only make worse the daily blockage going west on the A420 and the plan should consider a dual carriageway at this point.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☒

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

25 Nov 2014