

**Vale of White Horse Local Plan
Part One: Strategic Sites and
Policies**
Publication Stage Representation
Form

Ref:

(For official
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this
representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local
Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document,
the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse
District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email
planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014
by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –
Part A – Personal Details
Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation
you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation
boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

Title	Ms	
First Name	Vicky	
Last Name	Walker	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	84 Larkhill Road	
Line 2		
Line 3	Abingdon	

Line 4

OXON

Post Code

OX14 1BJ

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

(where relevant)

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation : Vicky Walker

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph		Policy	Core Policy 8 Spatial Strategy for Abingdon- on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area	Proposals Map	
-----------	--	--------	--	------------------	--

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes		No	NO
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The evidence presented by the Green Belt Review was insufficient to justify the removal of Green Belt protection from potential development sites. Any review considering the removal of Green Belt protection should be done in conjunction with the other local districts, and the county council.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Replace the Green Belt designation which was removed in the local review, at the very least until a full Oxford Green Belt review has been carried out. This means removing housing allocation from the two North Abingdon sites, the Kennington site and the Radley site.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. **After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐ **No**, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ **Yes**, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: Date: 19/12/14

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation : V Walker

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph h	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 90px; height: 100px;"></div>	Policy	Core Policy 7 – Providing Supportin g Infrastruct ure and Services	Proposals Map	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 165px; height: 100px;"></div>
----------------	--	--------	---	------------------	---

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 75px; height: 55px;"></div>	No	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 75px; height: 55px;"></div>
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 75px; height: 55px;"></div>	No	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 75px; height: 55px; text-align: center;">NO</div>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 75px; height: 55px;"></div>	No	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 75px; height: 55px;"></div>

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Plan has made insufficient provision for the timescale and finances required to upgrade local facilities in Abingdon. Local schools are already struggling to meet demand. The Lodge Hill interchange is only in the very earliest stages of planning and funding, and recent changes to the Wootton road roundabout

appear to have actually slowed traffic flow.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Postpone the planned North Abingdon developments pending the provision of suitable infrastructure.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☐ No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐ Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:



Date:

19/12/14