Comment

Consultee Mr Tim Rhodes (872153)

Email Address

Address Westfield House

23 High Street

Oxford OX2 9PE

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Mr Tim Rhodes

Comment ID LPPub561

Response Date 17/12/14 10:14

Consultation Point Core Policy 13: The Oxford Green Belt (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Email

Version 0.3

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

Yes

No

N/A

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with Yes the Duty to Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Plan is unsound because of the proposal to exclude 3 parcels of land (4,5 & 6) from the edge of Cumnor village, at present in the Green Belt. Some years ago the Council rightly decided to include

most of Cumnor village in a Conservation Area. These parcels are adjacent to this Area and if removed from the Green Belt could be developed and thereby destroy the setting and character of the village

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The parcels should therefore not be removed from the Green Belt

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the

Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, No - I do not wish to participate at the oral do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

examination