

Comment

Consultee	Mr Mike Roberts (871052)
Email Address	
Address	The stables East Hanney Wantage Ox120jj
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr Mike Roberts
Comment ID	LPPub191
Response Date	11/12/14 16:36
Consultation Point	Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area continued from previous page (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. South of East Hanney

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The site was not subject to public consultation in breach of NPPF & Vale policies prior to regulation 19 consultation & the Council Leader has indicated by email on 13/11/14 that they are unwilling to revise the plan prior to section 22 submission.

The site assessment as site 55 shows significant issues and the only positive is transport although OCC highways officers were unaware of the change in site to the south.

Major ecological, flooding and landscape issues exist and historical & archaeological aspects have not been properly researched in the Vales assessment

Constraints from these and traffic noise & pollution will impact on the area available for development.

Well attended village public meetings have on two occasions shown overwhelming opposition to the site and a majority preference for reversion to the site East of East Hanney

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Revert to the site East of East Hanney where the duty to cooperate has been fulfilled and public consultation took place

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I am professionally qualified in Environmental & Housing fields and as a past Chair of RTPI's Housing Committee I wish to see a sound and legal plan for the future of the area