

## Comment

Consultee	Mrs Andrea Spencer (872777)
Email Address	
Address	46 Appleton Rd Oxford OX29QH
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mrs Andrea Spencer
Comment ID	LPPub1181
Response Date	19/12/14 14:39
Consultation Point	Core Policy 13: The Oxford Green Belt ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** No

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** No

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Removing the Green Belt takes away a village and environment of natural beauty for future generations for no good reason. It is clear building should only take place on Green Belt land in exceptional circumstances, this is the governments point of view so why it is not being taken into account. If the proposed sites are built on then the number of houses in the village is likely to double, this would go

against the 5 purposes of the Green belt. Furthermore there is no reason to remove this land from the Green Belt. currently the village does not encroach upon the A34, this would change, there might also be a loss of recreational spaces for people of all ages to meet and socialise.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

For the reasons given above the proposal needs to be reevaluated by impartial experts with a better understanding and empathy of the true nature of the Green belt and the consequences for the future if they are removed in this shoddy way.

The plan must take into account the ability, and desirability, of major changes to the infrastructure from both a Socio and Economic perspective.

***Please note*** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

***After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.***

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**      No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination