

## Comment

<b>Agent</b>	Mr Roger Smith (724828)
<b>Email Address</b>	RASmith@savills.com
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Savills L and P Ltd, Mr R Smith, Director
<b>Address</b>	Wytam Court 11 West Way OXFORD OX2 OQL
<b>Consultee</b>	St Johns College (874643)
<b>Email Address</b>	unknown@unknown.com
<b>Address</b>	Unknown Unknown Unknown
<b>Event Name</b>	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
<b>Comment by</b>	St Johns College
<b>Comment ID</b>	LPPub3872
<b>Response Date</b>	23/01/15 12:27
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Core Policy 5: Housing Supply Ring-Fence ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Email
<b>Version</b>	0.3
<b>Files</b>	<a href="#">Land east of Southmoor Illustrative Masterplan.pdf</a>
<b>Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)</b>	No
<b>If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.</b>	N/A

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The Local Plan is unsound as it allocates two strategic housing allocations in the AONB which is contrary to national planning policy guidance as set out in the NPPF.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

Core Policy 5 should be deleted as the ring fencing of housing numbers is not justified. The Travel to Work Area associated with Vale of White Horse District includes a variety of settlements within and outside the District Council boundaries.

***Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.*

***After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.***

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

***Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

**Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

We are proposing a strategic housing allocation on land east of Kingston Bagpuize and Southmoor in conjunction with a new primary school, local centre and an eastern bypass for the village linking the A420 and the A415. The attached illustrative layout demonstrates how the site could be developed with capacity for circa 500 dwellings. The site is suitable and available.