

## Comment

|                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| Consultee          | Ms Clare Smith (872415)  |
| Email Address      |  |
| Address            | 8 Norfolk Close<br>Radley<br>Abingdon<br>OX14 3BH              |
| Event Name         | Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication     |
| Comment by         | Ms Clare Smith   |
| Comment ID         | LPPub2482  |
| Response Date      | 18/12/14 12:25   |
| Consultation Point | Core Policy 13: The Oxford Green Belt ( <a href="#">View</a> ) |
| Status             | Submitted  |
| Submission Type    | Web  |
| Version            | 0.2  |

**Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?** No

**Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)** No

**If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.** N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

**Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?** No

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

**Core Policy 13 :**

This is the same as for Core Policy 2 above. It is inconsistent with the Vale having carried out their own review and it would seem to raise the possibility of a succession of reviews each time a new housing needs assessment is produced.

To state it again the National Planning Policy Framework(NPPF) makes it clear that a green belt boundary should only be altered in ? exceptional circumstances ? and the Government guidance dated 6 th March 2014 states that ? Unmet housing need is unlikely to outweigh the harm to the green belt and other harm to constitute the ? very exceptional circumstances ? justifying inappropriate development on a site within the green belt ? .

In addition, the extension of the North Abingdon site east of Oxford Road into land that comprises Peachcroft Farm was included in the document prepared for consultation in April of this year.

In the Vale green belt review of February 2014 it did not recommend that this area was withdrawn from the green belt.

**Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

The whole process fails in a fundamental way in its duty to co-operate. The process has to date been undemocratic in that it has failed to allow the views of the community to be heard and to disregard its view when it does object as follows: Given the magnitude of what is being proposed this is completely unacceptable .

1. the timescale to make any comment or objection and to complete the form, being in the run up to Christmas, makes it difficult to complete in the available time given the difficulty given the demands on people's time at this time of year;
2. the issues are expressed by the Vale in a complex form that makes it inaccessible to a significant proportion of our community;
3. the online registration process is unclear before even filling in this form. This will exclude many in our community;
4. the on line form is difficult to use and fill in with a fair degree of expertise being required to enable the form to be completed. Again this will make it inaccessible to many in our community;
5. not every one has a computer or the expertise needed to make the on line representation that first requires individual registration. If a paper copy has to be used these have not been provided by the Vale and have to be first downloaded from their web site;
6. the paper copy is not straight forward to complete with on guidance given on how to complete it. A number of separate pages of part ?B? being required should more than one point need to be made.
7. the paper copy of the representation form states that it should be delivered to Planning Policy at the District Council at their office in Wallingford. This is no good if you live in Radley and wish to have a receipt! A good proportion of the village will not be able to get to Wallingford and again this will exclude many in the community from being able to express their views;
8. a detailed knowledge of the local plan is required that will exclude many in the community from being able to express their views;
9. the manner in which the first round of the consultation was undertaken and the means employed to disregard the views of hundreds of people in Radley gives little faith that the same will not happen again.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

***After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.***

**Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?** Yes - I wish to participate at the oral examination

***Please note*** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

**Q7 If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

The process undertaken is, to date, fundamentally flawed (as covered above). From the outset it has been managed in such a way by the Vale that there has not yet been a genuine opportunity for the community to be heard.

Therefore I would like the opportunity to participate.