

Comment

Consultee	Mrs Thelma Scott (868212)
Email Address	
Address	The Old Mill House Cottage East Hanney Nr Wantage OX12 0JJ
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mrs Thelma Scott
Comment ID	LPPub1619
Response Date	02/12/14 15:17
Consultation Point	Core Policy 8: Spatial Strategy for Abingdon-on-Thames and Oxford Fringe Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified) No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. South of East Hanney

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Flooding - The proposed South site lies in a flood prone area and any water running off that site or unable to sink away into the site will run into the village. Anything that increases this risk is of particular impact to my family who were flooded out in the major flood of 2007 and were unable to move back into the house for three and a half years eventually returning in December 2010. Also Christmas Eve 2013 the water was just inches from my back door. My understanding is that the Vale has a duty not to build on land sites liable to flood or causing flood to adjacent areas, this site would significantly increase the flood risk to the surrounding area. The site to the East of the village would not have this impact.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I strongly object to the to the proposed development to the South of East Hanney for the above stated reasons and would would ask the inspector to first consider if 200 houses in a village of 360 is realistic and sustainable.

If Hanney has to have some development, then a far lower number of around 50 houses on the East of East Hanney site is the modification I suggest.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination