

## Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One: Strategic Sites and Policies

Publication Stage Representation Form

	- £	_
×	ΩТ	•
11	CΙ	

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts -

Part A - Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

### Part A

Personal Details*  *If an agent is appointed, plea	ase complete only the Title, Name and Organisa	2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
	e full contact details of the agent in 2.	
Title	Mr	Mr
First Name	Andy	Steven
Last Name	Cattermole	Neal
Job Title (where relevant)	Planning Manager	Associate
Organisation (where relevant)	Taylor Wimpey	Vail Williams
Address Line 1	C/o Agent	540 Thames Valley Drive
Line 2		Reading
Line 3		Berkshire
Line 4		
Post Code		RG6 1RA
Telephone Number		07899986780
E-mail Address (where relevant)		sneal@vailwilliams.com

# Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :				
3. To which part of the Local Plan doe	s this represent	tation relate?		
Paragraph Policy	CP 20	Proposals Map		
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:				
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No	х
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes		No 	X
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co- operate	Yes		No	Х
Please mark as appropriate.				
5. Please give details of why you consis unsound or fails to comply with the opossible.  If you wish to support the legal complication compliance with the duty to co-operate comments.	duty to co-opera ance or soundn	ate. Please be as pr less of the Local Pla	ecise as in or its	
See attached letter				
6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.				
See attached letter				

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

exammadon.					
7. If your representation is seeki part of the examination?	ng a modification, do	you consider it	necessary	to participate at th	ne oral
No, I do not wish to oral examination	to participate at the	Х	Yes, I wish oral exami	to participate at t	he
<ol><li>If you wish to participate at the necessary:</li></ol>	e oral part of the exar	mination, pleas	se outline wl	ny you consider th	nis to
We would like to reserve the righ Shrivenham into the Local Plan.		nation to supp	ort the reins	tatement of South	1
Please note the Inspector will d	 letermine the most an	propriate proce	edure to add	ont to hear those v	vho
have indicated that they wish to				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Signature:				Date:	18/12/2014

Ref: P14-2610

18<sup>th</sup> December 2014



Vail Williams LLP 540 Thames Valley Park Reading Berkshire RG6 1RA

Tel 0118 909 7400 Fax 0118 909 7433

Info@vailwilliams.com vailwilliams.com

Vale of White Horse District Council Abbey House, Abbey Close, Abingdon, Oxfordshire OX14 3JF

Dear Sir/Madam,

Representations for Vale of White Horse consultation on Local Plan 2031, Part 1: Strategic Sites and Policies

#### **INTRODUCTION**

We have been instructed on behalf of our client, Taylor Wimpey to comment on the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031, Part One. We are objecting to the removal of Site 30, the site South of Shrivenham from the plan because of community response to the previous consultation. It is believed that this site should remain allocated within the Local Plan as a strategic site for residential development. It is believed the removal of this site from the Local Plan is unreasonable and unjustified and may lead to the loss of an easily deliverable supply of housing within an area where the local facilities and services support additional growth. This representation will set out the main issues with regard to the removal of this site which will include:

- 1. The site is a suitable and sustainable urban extension to Shrivenham and there is a not a robust technical argument as to why it should not remain within the Local Plan
- 2. The site can contribute to the Vale of White Horse's 5 year housing land supply;
- 3. Removing the site may lead to a loss of deliverable housing land within Shrivenham and the wider Western vale sub-area.

#### THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOUTH SHRIVENHAM SITE FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

The site had previously been identified within the previous Local Plan consultation as a suitable site for residential development informed by the Sustainability Appraisal which was published in October 2014. This report sets out a number of positive factors relating to the site in South Shrivenham including:

Its ability to deliver housing in the short-term;

#### **Leading Real Estate Advisors**



- Its siting adjacent to an existing settlement rather than the development of an isolated settlement;
- It is within walking distance of existing facilities, although there is an opportunity for further facilities to be developed;
- There are good employment opportunities in the surrounding area;

There were some issues which arose although these were not insurmountable problems. They included the identification of Great Crested Newts on the site and the proximity of the nearest bus route. These matters have been respectively addressed in the current planning application P13/V1514/O by way of a suitable mitigation strategy and the provision of footpath linkages with the village. Overall the site assessment appeared positive and the site appropriate for residential development.

The Town and Village Facilities Study (Update February 2014) identifies that Shrivenham is the joint most sustainable larger village in the district when considered in respect of local services and facilities, with 27 points. It shares this level of sustainability with Kennington, which is located within the Green Belt. As such, the Council's evidence base indicates that Shrivenham should be considered a suitable location for growth in the Local Plan.

The Local Plan allocates some 1,500 new dwellings in the Oxford Green Belt. Whilst Taylor Wimpey is fully supportive of a holistic review of the Oxford Green Belt, the de-allocation of suitable (and deliverable) sites such as South Shrivenham form the plan should be avoided, particularly where there is a preference for sustainable growth in suitable locations outside of the Green Belt.

#### **VALE OF WHITE HORSE HOUSING LAND SUPPLY**

It is known through the 2014 SHMA that Oxfordshire councils have recently had issues relating to housing land supply particular since it was published that Oxford City cannot meet their housing requirement within their administrative boundary. This is identified in the proposed Core Policy 2, 'Cooperation on Unmet Housing Need for Oxfordshire'. As a consequence surrounding councils including the Vale of White Horse have had to review their own housing land supplies in an attempt to reduce the deficit. The Vale of White Horse's Local Plan Part One plans to allocate 21 strategic sites for residential development in order to contribute towards the 20,560 new dwellings required between 2011 and 2031. It has been reported that although the Council can demonstrate a five year housing land supply, when a 20% buffer is taken into account, this is reduced to 4.6 years (housing topic paper) for the period 2015-2020.

This would suggest that the Council will need to find further sites for residential development in order to reduce their undersupply in housing land. It is therefore suggested that the site at South Shrivenham is reinstated to the Local Plan as an allocated site. The site has previously been assessed and concluded by the Council that residential development is appropriate on the site. This would in the short term provide 59 homes and in the long term provide up to 200 homes which would help relieve the housing land shortage.

It should also be noted that the trajectory has overestimated completions of some of the larger sites within the District, in which my client has significant interests. In reality therefore, the current housing land supply position is not as being reported.

#### CONCLUSION



This representation has been made on behalf of our client, Taylor Wimpey to object to the removal of the site in South Shrivenham from the Local Plan Part One: Strategic Sites and Policies. Several issues have been raised within this letter which have concludes that is not justifiable to remove the South Shrivenham site from the Local Plan, based on consultation responses alone when there are numerous reasons why the site should remain allocated in the plan.

Yours sincerely,

Sarah Isherwood Graduate Planner Vail Williams LLP DDI: 0118 909 7411

e-Mail: sisherwood@vailwilliams.com