Comment

Consultee Ms Carmelle Belle (725556)

Email Address thameswaterplanningpolicy@savills.com

Company / Organisation Thames Water Property Services ((Grd Floor East))

Address Clearwater Court (Ground East)

> Vastern Road unknown RG18DB

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One -

Publication

Comment by Thames Water Property Services ((Grd Floor East))

(Ms Carmelle Belle)

Comment ID LPPub2300

Response Date 14/01/15 15:00

Consultation Point Core Policy 15: Spatial Strategy for South East Vale

Sub-Area (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Email

Version 0.5

Files 14.12.16 R CB VOWH Site Specific Table Pre

submission.xls

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally

Compliant?

No

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound

(positively prepared, effective and Justified)

No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within N/A a core policy please select this from the drop

down list.

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Crab Hill, Land north of A417 of A338, Wantage

We have concerns regarding Water Supply Capability in relation to this site. Specifically, the water supply network in this area is unlikely to be able to support the demand anticipated from this development. It will be necessary for us to undertake investigations of the impact of the development and completion of this will take several weeks. It should be noted that in the event of an upgrade to our assets being required, up to three years lead in time will be necessary. In this case we ask that the following paragraph is included in the Development Plan.? Developers will be required to demonstrate that there is adequate water supply capacity both on and off the site to serve the development and that it would not lead to problems for existing or new users. In some circumstances it may be necessary for developers to fund studies to ascertain whether the proposed development will lead to overloading of existing water infrastructure.?

We have concerns regarding Waste Water Services in relation to this site. Specifically, the sewerage network capacity in this area is unlikely to be able to support the demand anticipated from this development. Drainage Infrastructure is likely to be required to ensure sufficient capacity is brought forward ahead of the development. In the first instance a drainage strategy would be required from the developer to determine the exact impact on our infrastructure and the significance of the infrastructure to support the development. It should be noted that in the event of an upgrade to our assets being required, up to three years lead in time will be potentially necessary for the delivery of the infrastructure, alternatively the developer may wish to requisition the infrastructure to deliver it sooner. We are also likely to request a Grampian planning condition to ensure the infrastructure is in place ahead of occupation of the development.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination