Comment

Consultee	Mr Ian Page (872051)
Email Address	
Address	Cross House Church Hill Chilton OX11 0SH
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Pu
Comment by	Mr Ian Page
Comment ID	LPPub439
Response Date	16/12/14 15:09
Consultation Point	Core Policy 4: Meeting Our Housing Needs (<u>View</u>
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	Νο
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such a planning authorities

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Yes Co-operate?

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comp to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Paragraph 4.18 states that the majority of the VWHDCs strategic housing growth is allocated in the South East Vale, proportion of that in the south east corner of the South East Vale. This is predominantly to support economic growth in the Science Vale. Core Policy 4 details where in the South East Vale 10,320 dwellings are located, including 1,40 Wessex Downs AONB. In addition to the 10,320 houses currently allocated to the South East Vale by the VWHDC, are currently being built within the Vale at Great Western Park, Didcot. South Oxfordshire District Council has furthe houses to the Didcot area on the basis of speculative job creation within the Science Vale. This brings the housing supporting the Science Vale to 10,320 + 3,300 + 2,330 = 15,950 dwellings. However, the Science Vale sits at the U

between the Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire (SO), and South Oxfordshire District Council are allocating 3,540 houses to the Didcot area in order to support the ?Science Vale? (Option B:

http://www.southoxon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2014-06-05_SODC%20LP2031%20ISSUES%20&%20OPTIONS%20LE This would bring the total number of dwellings up to 15,950 + 3,540 = 19,490 new homes. Furthermore, 275 house completed at Chilton, an additional 200 houses are being built at Harwell, and there is outline planning permission homes to the north of the Harwell Oxford Campus (these housing allocations are not shown in the maps of Chilton Oxford Campus in the Local Plan). Taking these into account, the total dwellings allocated to supporting the science 19,490 + 275 + 200 + 125 = 20,090 houses. With the Science Vale ambitiously speculating to create up to 16,000 jc appear that with up to 20,090 houses being built in the general area, that there is more than an adequate provision support the predicted economic growth. As a direct result of this, it would seem reasonable to remove 1,000 of the allocated to the North Wessex Downs AONB and relocate them elsewhere, without it being detrimental to the econo of the Science Vale. This strategy is given further weight by the following quotes from the appendices to the report ?Strategic Assessment of the Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part 1: Appendices? which state that: ?A low gr at Harwell Oxford Campus would require development elsewhere across the district to meet housing targets. On th be argued that a wider distribution of growth (and spending power) could be more beneficial in supporting the rural particularly those areas in the rural west of the district? (SOURCE: URS SA Report, Appendices, Appendix 14, SA is a likelihood that residents in new housing areas at Harwell Oxford Campus would access employment opportunit This has the potential to increase traffic on the A34 which is already known to be congested and operating over its d in peak periods? (SOURCE: URS SA Report, Appendices, Appendix 14, SA3). Hence, the headline strategic of allo dwellings to the ?Science Vale? area is misleading when in fact up to 20,090 houses are being built or have been a area in total, including around Didcot. As a result, housing provision within the Science Vale is significant. Therefore continue to use the Science Vale as a justification for large strategic housing sites in the South East Vale, and in par an unprecedented 1,400 houses to mainly greenfield sites within the North Wessex Downs AONB, is unsound. Note th and contradiction in the Plan on housing numbers and this Alone makes the Plan unsound.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance v co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy be as precise as possible.

In order to make the Local Plan sound and legally compliant, and protect the North Wessex Downs AONB, the follow are necessary: ? Remove the entire allocation of 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus. ? Remove the addition 150 homes from the North West Harwell Campus (eg reduce the number of houses from 550 to 400 including the 1 outline permission)). ? Include provision of up to 400 new homes (including the 125 already given outline permissio West Harwell Campus, provided that all development is contained within the perimeter of the Harwell Oxford Campus by the Harwell Oxford Campus. ? Reallocate the 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus and the additional 150 North West Harwell Campus (1,000 houses in total) to other sites already identified by the Vale of White Horse, for Valley Park (which has already been assessed as having additional capacity for up to a further 1,200 homes) ? (b) D for 425 houses), or ? (c) Rowstock (capacity for 515 houses), or ? (d) Land West of Steventon (capacity for 350 hour Distributed throughout the West Vale in order to encourage and support economic growth and prosperity more equidistrict. ? Or reduce the total SHMA allocation for the District by 1000 ? Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB e Science Vale ?Ringfence? in order to protect it from future speculative development should the Science Vale fall be of its housing targets. Only by implementing these steps in full will the Local Plan be compliant with the NPPF para and the CROW Act 2000.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues I for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examina