



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:
Strategic Sites and Policies**
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*

**If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	Mr	
First Name	Terry	
Last Name	Palmer	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	6 Morlands	
Line 2	East Hanney	
Line 3	Wantage	
Line 4		
Post Code	OX12 0JW	
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address (where relevant)		

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

CP4

Proposals Map

East Hanney housing site allocation

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant

Yes

No

X

4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)

Yes

No

X

4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

X

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I believe that the plan is neither sound nor compliant with the duty to cooperate and therefore by definition is in my mind not legally compliant – my objections and concerns however revolve around the lack of soundness and it's non-cooperative nature.

My main concern is around the loss of character and village identity.

The development of 200 homes will overwhelm the nature and size of the existing village with a 60% increase in the total number of homes in East Hanney (334 homes in the 2011 Census). The imposition of a large, densely populated estate, upon a village lacking the necessary capacity, will blight our existing community, culture, quality of life and environment.

Whilst the facilities score under the Vale's assessment may suggest that East Hanney ranks as a "large village", this is a small community with limited services that are inadequate to support the huge proposed increase in residents. The points scored for having a "shop" do not take into account the nature of such a tiny facility staffed purely by community volunteers. There are no services or facilities for teenagers and young families, other than volunteer groups. It should also be noted that the imminent loss of the mobile library will return the village to a "small" ranking and thus would not, under the Vale's own criteria, have warranted any such development.

10 Villages with populations greater than East Hanney and with a facilities score either the same as, or higher than, East Hanney have NOT been earmarked for development. One village in the Vale that received a facilities score of 21 and has over 1,000 homes has only been allocated a development site for 220 houses, increasing the total number of homes by just 22%. Compare this with the almost 60% increase in homes for East Hanney.

The housing designs and styles are anticipated to be out of character with the existing settlement at East Hanney and, with 25 homes per hectare proposed (equating to 10 per acre), so will the density.

A principle of the Local Plan is to reduce air, noise and light pollution. Development of the site South of East Hanney was appraised by the Vale to bring about "minor negative" effects. East Hanney currently has very few street lights and, given the scale of growth proposed, I find it difficult to understand how any development of this huge scale can have any impact that is minor in this respect. Will the new development have street lights? See the Vale's Core Policy 37: Design and Local Distinctiveness and 44: Landscape.

The NPPF requires the Plan to be "**justified**" by being "the most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives" Do you believe this is true? If not, then the Plan is "**unsound**".

The simple fact is that increasing the size of any village by this massive amount can not but have a major impact on the character of the village. I have worked all my life to be able to live in a small village and have the life that this brings – I cannot contenance it being destroyed in this way.

It already takes me a significant amount of time to get out of the village onto the road to get to work, how will this be affected by 200-500 additional vehicles on the road in this direct vicinity?

There are so many reasons why this plan is flawed:

- Increased risk of flooding
- Capability to deal with sewage and other refuse
- Roads and infrastructure
- Destruction of heritage
- Other, larger more developed towns in the area are not having such massive expansion levied upon them

This should not be allowed to go ahead.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or

text. Please be as precise as possible.

Engage in real consultation, reduce the expansion to a sensible level (10-15%) and stop the destruction of everything an English Village stands for,

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date: