



**Vale of White Horse Local Plan Part One:  
Strategic Sites and Policies**  
Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official  
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Vale of White Horse Local Plan

Response form for the Vale of White Horse strategic planning policy document, the Local Plan Part one. Please return to Planning Policy, Vale of White Horse District Council, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh, Wallingford, OX10 8ED or email [planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@whitehorsedc.gov.uk) no later than Friday 19 December 2014 by 4.30 pm precisely.

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

## Part A

### 1. Personal Details\*

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title

Mr

First Name

Ian

Last Name

Jackson

Job Title

(where relevant)

Organisation

(where relevant)

Address Line 1

Wellow House

Line 2

High Street

Line 3

Harwell

Line 4

Oxon.

Post Code

OX11 0EU

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

(where relevant)

## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation :

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

Proposals Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text" value="X"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4.(2) Sound (Positively Prepared, Effective and Justified)	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="X"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text" value="X"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

Please mark as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

**In the case of Harwell Village, the Plan has no effective mechanisms to deliver the aim stated in Section 5.57 that: “The countryside and villages will have maintained their distinctive character. The Larger Villages will have retained their separate identities.....”. Nor does it have an effective mechanism to deliver point (ii) of CP 44 for Harwell Village, which sets out to ‘protect from harmful development important landscape settings of settlements’.**

**The issue is that there is nothing specific anywhere in the Plan which defines unambiguously and unsubjectively what constitutes ‘maintenance of distinctive character/separate identity for Harwell village’, particularly on its east side with encroachment by Valley Park.**

I would really like to know who took the decision to make Didcot into a large town subsuming the surrounding villages (Harwell, the Hagbournes, the Hendreds, the Wittenhams etc.) by about 2100 and when they did so? When was the decision made? It's obvious that the local residents were never meant to be seriously consulted.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at

examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

To make Section 5.57 and Core Policy 44 of the Local Plan sound, the Plan must now identify a rural gap right around Harwell Village where no development will be permitted. This particularly applies to the approach to Harwell from the east, but is not confined to this direction.

On the east side the template for Valley Park in Appendix A should include a commitment to a green corridor along the B4493 between the A34 and Zulu Farm, to preserve some vestige of a green gap between the village and Didcot.

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

☒

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

☐

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I am willing for my concerns to be represented by the Keep Harwell Rural Campaign.

**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:

Date:

17/12/2014