Comment

Consultee Mr Timothy Kapp (872461)

Email Address

Address 43 Chilton Field Way

Chilton Didcot OX11 0SQ

Event Name Vale of White Horse Local Plan 203

Comment by Mr Timothy Kapp

Comment ID LPPub4310

Response Date 26/01/15 14:21

Consultation Point Core Policy 15: Spatial Strategy for

Status Submitted

Submission Type Email

Version 0.6

Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant? Yes

Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and No Justified)

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select N/A this from the drop down list.

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such a

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? Yes

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comp precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its complianc this box to set out your comments.

Comments submitted duplicate those made by Chilton AONB Action Group, put forward by Pamela Dothie (ID: 871 Rowstock:

The Local Plan Part 2031 Part 1 Strategic Sites and Policies Housing Delivery Update Supporting Paper Appendix (http://www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk/sotes/default/files/supporting%20paper%20-%20appendix%205%20-%20Site%20info states, with regards to Rowstock:

"Sustinability Appraisal: No significant negative effects identified. Significant positive effects identified against two S Whilst the site has been identified as having a total capacity for 1,250 houses, 515 are recommended on landscape "Transport: located in the heart of the Science Vale Oxford. Development would contribute through financial contrib transport measures. Well related to employment centres".

However, the reasons for not developing this sites are quoted as "This site is not considered appropriate due to iss lack of existing services and facilities to enable sustainable development during the early phases".

These arguments are particularly weak, it is not obvious what the issues of coalescence are, as these are no neighthe site for Rowstock to coalescence with. Indeed, by taking recommended 515 dwellings at tje eastern part of the si Rowstock has a shop and a petrol station, and a farm shop a short walk u pthe A4185, and therefore does not have at Rowstock, but the provision of 515 houses at his site would enable Rowstock to have its own school and therefore

Given that Chilton Primary School is already over capacity and struggling to cope with the demands of its recent 80 Harwell Oxford Campus have nno access to schooling provision and require a new primary school to be built. Given Oxford Campus to access primary school places at East Hendred, Harwell, Milton Heights and Steventon in the shift is site is any less disadvantaged than the Harwell Oxford Campus in terms of access to schools in the short term

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is it will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you a wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

In order to make the Local Plan sound and legally compliant, and protect the North Wessex Downs AONB, the follow

- * Remove the entire allocation of 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus
- * Remove the additional allocation of 150 homes from the North West Harwell Campus (e.g. reduce the number of higher outline permission).
- * Include provision of up to 400 new homes (including the 125 already given outline permission) at the North West is contained within the perimeter of the Harwell Oxford Campus, provided that all development is contained within t is controlled by the Harwell Oxford Campus * Reallocate tje 850 homes from the Harwell East Campus and the ad Campus (1,000 houses in total) to other sites already identified by the Vale of White Horse, for example:
- a) Valley Park (which has already been assessed as having additional capacity for up to a further 1,200 homes)
- b) Didcot A (capacity for 425 houses), or
- c) Rowstock (capacity for 515 houses), or
- d) Land West of Steventon (capacity for 350 houses), or
- e) Distributed throughout the West Vale in order to encourage and support economic growth and prosperity more e SHMA allocation for the district by 1,000
- * Remove the North Wessex Downs AONB entirely from the Science Vale "ring fence" in order to protect it from futurely fall behind in delivery of its housing targets.