

## Comment

Agent	Ms Clare O'Hanlon (874264)
Email Address	
Company / Organisation	Carter Jonas
Address	Mayfeild House 256 Banbury Road Summertown OX2 7DE
Consultee	Mr & Mrs N G Lay (874433)
Address	unknown unknown unknown
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Mr & Mrs N G Lay
Comment ID	LPPub2888
Response Date	21/01/15 10:32
Consultation Point	Core Policy 15: Spatial Strategy for South East Vale Sub-Area ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	Yes
If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list.	N/A

**Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

## Site Specific Infrastructure

Significant funding has already been secured for a number of the improvements works set out in the Council's IDP. This is to be supplemented where necessary by developer contributions and/or CIL.

We are committed to ensuring that the necessary infrastructure is in place to support development at East Harwell. No 'showstoppers' in respect of infrastructure requirements have been identified, and we will work with infrastructure providers and the Council to secure the timely delivery of necessary supporting infrastructure. The East Harwell site is considered to be generally viable with the essential infrastructure identified in the current version of the IDP, the Strategic Site Development Templates, CIL as currently proposed and 35% on site affordable housing provision. However, this situation will need to be kept under review as the site progresses and cost estimates and values are refined and we therefore welcome the flexibility afforded in draft Core Policies 7 and 24.

In our view the primary education contributions (via S106) need to be re-calculated. The East Harwell allocation is currently shown as paying the same financial contribution as North West of Harwell Campus and yet East Harwell is also burdened with providing the land for the new school. The 'gifting' of the land needs to be factored into the financial contribution sought from the East Harwell scheme (and the sums in the IDP re-calculated accordingly).