

Comment

Consultee	Mr Douglas Lines (872136)
Email Address	
Company / Organisation	Little Coxwell Parish Council
Address	Manor Farm Little Coxwell Faringdon SN7 7LW
Event Name	Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2031 Part One - Publication
Comment by	Little Coxwell Parish Council (Mr Douglas Lines)
Comment ID	LPPub858
Response Date	18/12/14 14:50
Consultation Point	Core Policy 20: Spatial Strategy for Western Vale Sub-Area (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Q1 Do you consider the Local Plan is Legally Compliant?	Yes
Q2 Do you consider the Local Plan is Sound (positively prepared, effective and Justified)	No

If your comment(s) relate to a specific site within a core policy please select this from the drop down list. East of Coxwell Road (Great Coxwell Parish)

If you think your comment relates to the DtC, this is about how we have worked with the Duty to Cooperate bodies (such as neighbouring planning authorities)

Q3 Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate? No

Q4 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

It is a great shame that the many voices objecting to the speculative applications at Fernham Gate and Steeds Farm have been ignored. Little Coxwell Parish Council continues to object to these developments, which will result in 400 more houses, out of a total of over 3,000 across the Western Vale. These particular houses are closer to Little Coxwell than to the centre of Faringdon and are in the parish of Great Coxwell. VWHDC should be strongly resisting these proposals, rather than incorporating them into the Local Plan.

These developments will overwhelm the already struggling infrastructure, whether doctors, schools, sewage systems etc. The A420 is already congested at peak times. The proposed developments at Faringdon, Shrivenham, east of Swindon etc are set to make a bad situation considerably worse. The upgrading of a couple of junctions is wholly inadequate response.

Q5 Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

These housing developments should not be supported, as they are contrary to the objective of sustainable development and maintaining the character of the smaller villages.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q6 If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? No - I do not wish to participate at the oral examination